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## 1. Introduction and summary

Recently much attention has been focused on a class of string backgrounds that involve duality twists [1-[6]. These backgrounds are formulated as fibrations over a base manifold in which the transition functions are built from discrete duality transformations over and above the standard continuous (diffeomorphism and gauge) transformations, so that the fibre picks up non-trivial monodromies as it goes around cycles on the base. As the dualities
are no less fundamental symmetries of the theory than the diffeo and gauge symmetries it is natural to suppose that these are as good backgrounds for strings as standard manifold backgrounds ('geometric backgrouds'). In recent literature such backgrounds are called 'monodrofolds' [2] or, when the duality used in the construction is T-duality, 'T-folds' (1) in particular. In the present paper we shall be concerned only with T-folds.

T-folds are an example of non-geometric backgrounds and have features that differ from ordinary manifold backgrounds. For instance the metric and the Kalb-Ramond field are not defined globally since T-duality mixes these two. For T-folds of $d$-torus fibrations over a base manifold $B$ there exists a very useful framework known as the doubled-torus formalism, developed in (1]). This is to construct from the original T-fold an enlarged space $T^{d} \otimes \widetilde{T}^{d} \otimes B$ where $\tilde{T}^{d}$ (with coordinates $\tilde{X}=X_{L}-X_{R}$ ) is T-dual to $T^{d}$ (with $X=X_{L}+X_{R}$ ). In the enlarged space the T-duality group $O(d, d ; \mathbb{Z})$ acts linearly. The doubled torus is geometric and is considered as the collection of all possible T-duals associated with a given T-fold. A T-fold is obtained from the doubled torus by projecting out redundant degrees of freedom. The choice of physical degrees of freedom is called polarisation in [1]. The equations of motion of a T -fold are recovered from the doubled torus using appropriate constraints; hence the doubled torus with appropriate polarisation and the original T-fold are equivalent at classical level. Classical T-fold backgrounds are also related to Hitchin's generalised complex geometry [7]-[]. See [10, 11] for recent studies.

In string theory the space-time arises, in principle, as a consequence of the string world-sheet dynamics. In particular, when studying non-geometric backgrounds that are somewhat beyond our intuitive understanding of spacetime, the world-sheet theory is expected to provide rich information beyond the supergravity approximation. The worldsheet of T-folds is known to be described by conformal field theory (CFT) of asymmetric orbifolds 12. These are subject of recent intensive study motivated by phenomenological interests, as they give rise to various models of non-supersymmetric string backgrounds with vanishing [13, [4] or exponentially suppressed [15] cosmological constant. An elementary check of legitimacy of such CFT is whether the model preserves modular invariance at one-loop level. In stark contrast to the symmetric cases the level-matching in asymmetric orbifolds is not automatic and the one-loop partition functions often fail to be modular invariant. As observed in [13] it is nevertheless possible to construct consistent models of asymmetric orbifold in which the modular invariance is recovered by cancellation of level mismatch. The authors of [16] re-consider this issue in the context of T-folds. We review these technical details in section 2 .

D-branes are essential in studying various non-perturabative aspects of string backgrounds, such as dual gauge theory, meta-stable vacua, and string duality. They can also be used as a probe to analyse the geometry of the background. D-branes on T-fold backgrounds are constructed and analysed in the doubled-torus picture in 17], where classical D-brane spectrum consistent with the $O(d, d ; \mathbb{Z})$ monodromy was found in the model of $T^{d}$ fibrations over $S^{1}$. In the present paper we study D-branes in a simple model of T-fold in the framework of world-sheet orbifold CFT, which would be complementary to [17]. There are earlier work on D-branes in (different models of) asymmetric orbifolds, see e.g. [18, 19]. Our findings are summarised as follows:

1. We analyse D-brane spectrum in the T-fold model of $S^{1}$ fibration over $S^{1}$ base. There are D-branes (bulk branes) that have geometric counterparts in the doubled picture. They are identified with those found in [17.
2. Furthermore, we also find D-branes involving the twisted sector (fractional branes), which are expected but not concretely constructed in [17]. Computing overlaps reveals that both bulk and fractional branes satisfy Cardy conditions and hence they coexist in the T-fold background. We find the mass of an open string stretched between the bulk and fractional branes shows intriguing non-linear dependence on the moduli.
3. We extend the analysis to T-fold models with $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}$ fibration over $S^{1}$ and find similar results.

The plan of the rest of the paper is as follows. In the next section we describe the $S^{1}$ over $S^{1}$ model of T-fold CFT by reviewing discussions of [20, 16, 21]. In section 3 we discuss D-branes in this background; we construct boundary states of bulk and fractional branes, check their modular consistency (Cardy conditions) and discuss their properties. In section 4 we consider world-sheet fermions, and in section 5 we generalise our discussions to models with $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ Wess-Zumino-Witten (WZW) fibre, and conclude with some comments. Summary of formulae as well as technical issues are relegated to 4 appendices.

Throughout this paper we use the convention of $\alpha^{\prime}=1$.

## 2. The world-sheet CFT

The example of T-fold that we shall consider in this section and the next is a circle fibration over a base of another circle, with the transition function being the T-dualisation so that the fibre transforms into its T-dual as it moves around the base [20, 16, 21] (also, Chap. 18 of (22]). We set the radius of the base circle to be $R$ and that of the fibre circle to be at selfdual: $R^{\prime}=1$, so as to make it possible to gauge the T-duality symmetry. The fibre and the base coordinates are respectively $X(z, \bar{z})=X_{L}(z)+X_{R}(\bar{z})$ and $Y(z, \bar{z})=Y_{L}(z)+Y_{R}(\bar{z})$. The T-dualised fibre coordinates are $\tilde{X}(z, \bar{z})=X_{L}(z)-X_{R}(\bar{z})$. The T-fold is defined as an 'interpolating orbifold' on the covering space $S_{1}^{1} \times S_{2 R}^{1}$, whose orbifold action is the T-duality transformation on the fibre accompanied by the half shift along the base circle: ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y \rightarrow Y+2 \pi R . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [20 it is discussed that the naive T-duality action

$$
\begin{equation*}
T: X=\left(X_{L}, X_{R}\right) \rightarrow \tilde{X}=\left(X_{L},-X_{R}\right), \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]leads to difficulty in modular invariance of the one-loop partition function. ${ }^{2}$ A reasonable remedy for this is proposed in [16], by implementing an appropriate shift in $X_{L}$ that renders the partition function of the asymmetric orbifold into essentially that of a (modular invariant) symmetric orbifold. Similar construction of various T-duality orbifolds is discussed already in [13-15, 27, 28]. In this section we review the computation of the modular invariant one-loop partition function. The system has central charge $c=2$ and may be considered as a part of critical bosonic string theory. We will not mention the other $c=24$ components below, however.

### 2.1 Locality of vertex operators and T-duality

Before discussing the partition function, we present the argument (16] on how the T-duality should act on vertex operators in a way consistent with locality. To make things simple we focus only on the fibre part. Consider the vertex operator,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}_{k_{L}, k_{R}}(z, \bar{z})=C_{k_{L}, k_{R}}: e^{i k_{L} X_{L}+i k_{R} X_{R}}: . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The cocycle factor $C_{k_{L}, k_{R}}$ is defined as ${ }^{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{k_{L}, k_{R}} \equiv e^{\pi i w n} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote the momentum and winding number operators with hats $\hat{n}, \hat{w}$ to distinguish them from corresponding numbers (eigenvalues) $n$ and $w$. They are related to the left and right moving momentum operators by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{p}_{L}=\hat{n}+\hat{w}, \quad \hat{p}_{R}=\hat{n}-\hat{w} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The eigenvalues for the operators $\hat{p}_{L}$ and $\hat{p}_{R}$ are $k_{L}$ and $k_{R}$. We use round brackets to write the vertex operator (2.3) in terms of a pair of integers $n$ and $w$ instead of $k_{L}$ and $k_{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}_{(n, w)}(z, \bar{z})=\mathcal{V}_{k_{L}, k_{R}}(z, \bar{z}) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The cocycle has been included to make these vertex operators mutually local,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}_{k_{L}, k_{R}}(z, \bar{z}) \mathcal{V}_{k_{L}^{\prime}, k_{R}^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}, \bar{z}^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{V}_{k_{L}^{\prime}, k_{R}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left(z^{\prime}, \bar{z}^{\prime}\right) \mathcal{V}_{k_{L}, k_{R}}(z, \bar{z}) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vertex operator dual to (2.3) under the (naive) T-operation (2.2) would then be

$$
\begin{equation*}
T: \mathcal{V}_{k_{L}, k_{R}}(z, \bar{z}) \rightarrow e^{\pi i w \hat{w}}: e^{i k_{L} X_{L}(z)-i k_{R} X_{R}(\bar{z})}:=e^{\pi i \tilde{n} \hat{w}}: e^{i \tilde{k}_{L} X_{L}(z)+i \tilde{k}_{R} X_{R}(\bar{z})}: \equiv \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\hat{k}_{L}, \tilde{k}_{R}}(z, \bar{z}) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]Here $\tilde{k}_{L} \equiv n+w=k_{L}, \tilde{k}_{R} \equiv w-n=-k_{R}$, and $\tilde{n} \equiv w, \tilde{w} \equiv n$. Note that the T-dualised cocycle factor appearing in (2.8), $\tilde{C}_{\tilde{k}_{L}, \tilde{k}_{R}} \equiv e^{\pi i \tilde{n} \hat{w}}$, differs from the original one (2.4). The operators $\mathcal{V}_{k_{L}, k_{R}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}_{k_{L}^{\prime}, k_{R}^{\prime}}$ are not mutually local when $w n^{\prime}+n w^{\prime} \in 2 \mathbb{Z}+1$, as can be seen from their operator product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}_{k_{L}, k_{R}}(z, \bar{z}) \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}_{k_{L}^{\prime}, k_{R}^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}, \bar{z}^{\prime}\right)=e^{\pi i\left(w n^{\prime}+n w^{\prime}\right)} \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}_{k_{L}^{\prime}, k_{R}^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}, \bar{z}^{\prime}\right) \mathcal{V}_{k_{L}, k_{R}}(z, \bar{z}) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This would not cause any problem were we dealing with two separate theories that are T-dual to each other. In the case of T-fold, however, we encounter such cross operator products and their non-locality indicates inconsistency of the model; in order to construct a sensible model we need to make the product (2.9) local. This can be accomplished by including the appropriate factor of $e^{\pi i n \hat{n}}$ [16] into the definition of the T-duality transformation. ${ }^{4}$ This 'improved' T-transformation (which we shall denote by $T^{\prime}$ ) acts on states as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\prime}:\left|n, w, N^{i}, \bar{N}^{i}\right\rangle \rightarrow(-1)^{\sum \bar{N}^{i}} e^{i \pi \hat{n} \hat{\omega}}\left|w, n, N^{i}, \bar{N}^{i}\right\rangle \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N^{i}$ and $\bar{N}^{i}$ are the left and right occupation numbers. For the vertex operators, this operates as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\prime}: \mathcal{V}_{(n, w)}(z, \bar{z}) \rightarrow e^{-i \pi n w} e^{i \pi \tilde{w} \hat{w}}: e^{i \tilde{k}_{L} X_{L}+i \tilde{k}_{R} X_{R}}:=e^{-i \pi n w} \mathcal{V}_{(w, n)}(z, \bar{z}) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the improved T-operator $T^{\prime}$ acts on vertex operators as $n \leftrightarrow w$ while keeping the cocycle factor $C$ unchanged up to a C-number phase; this assures the mutual locality of vertex operators.

We also note that $T^{\prime}$ is actually involutive, $\left(T^{\prime}\right)^{2}=\mathbf{1}$, on the whole Hilbert space, whereas $T$ is not. This is because $T$ is interpretable as operator $\left(\mathbf{1}, e^{i \pi \bar{J}_{0}^{1}}\right)$ in terms of the $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{1}$ current $J^{a}$ characterizing the self-dual compact boson (note that $e^{2 \pi i J_{0}^{1}} \neq \mathbf{1}$; it generates a non-trivial phase).

### 2.2 The T-fold as an orbifold

We defined the world-sheet CFT of the T-fold as an asymmetric orbifold on the covering space $S_{1}^{1} \times S_{2 R}^{1}$, with order 2 orbifolding group $G=\{I, \sigma\}$ where $I$ is the identity and $\sigma$ is T-dualisation of the fibre combined with the half shift in (the covering space of) the base $\mathcal{T}_{2 \pi R}: Y \rightarrow Y+2 \pi R$. The computation of the one-loop T-fold partition function then follows the standard theory of orbifold,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{\mathrm{T}-\text {-fold }}(\tau, \bar{\tau})=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g, h \in G}{ }^{h} \square_{g}(\tau, \bar{\tau})=\frac{1}{2}\left({ }^{I} \square_{I}+{ }^{\sigma} \square_{I}+{ }^{I} \square_{\sigma}+{ }^{\sigma} \square_{\sigma}\right) . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the Virasoro zero-modes are sums of the fibre and base parts $L_{0}=L_{0}^{\mathrm{fibre}}+L_{0}^{\text {base }}$, $\bar{L}_{0}=\bar{L}_{0}^{\text {fibre }}+\bar{L}_{0}^{\text {base }}$, the partition trace in each sector sector-wise splits into the base and fibre parts,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{h} \square_{g}(\tau, \bar{\tau})=\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{g}} h q^{L_{0}-\frac{1}{12}} \bar{q}^{L_{0}-\frac{1}{12}}=Z_{[g, h]}^{\text {base }}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) Z_{[g, h]}^{\text {fibre }}(\tau, \bar{\tau}), \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]where
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{[g, h]}^{\text {base }} & =\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{g}^{\text {base }}} h q^{L_{0}^{\text {base }}-\frac{1}{24}} \bar{q}^{\bar{L}_{0}^{\text {base }}-\frac{1}{24}},  \tag{2.14}\\
Z_{[g, h]}^{\text {fibre }} & =\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{g}^{\text {frbe }}} h q^{L_{0}^{\text {fibre }}-\frac{1}{24}} \bar{q}^{\bar{L}_{0}^{\text {fibre }}-\frac{1}{24}} . \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

Here $\mathcal{H}_{I}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\sigma}\right)$ is the Hilbert space of the untwisted (twisted) sector.

### 2.3 The fibre part of the partition function

Below we describe an explicit computation of (2.15) in the operator (rather than pathintegral) formalism. This is essentially the modular orbit completion [29, 26] using the orbifolding group that has been spelled out in (2.10). We first look at the untwisted Hilbert space with no twist insertion, $Z_{[I, I]}^{\text {fibre. }}$. The Virasoro zero-modes in this sector can be written using the number operators $\hat{N}_{k}=\frac{1}{k} a_{-k} a_{k}$ and $\hat{\bar{N}}_{k}=\frac{1}{k} \bar{a}_{-k} \bar{a}_{k}$ ( $a_{k}$ and $\bar{a}_{k}$ are the mode operators of $X_{L}$ and $X_{R}$ ) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{0}^{\mathrm{fibre}, U}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \hat{N}_{k}+\frac{1}{4}(\hat{n}+\hat{w})^{2} \\
& \bar{L}_{0}^{\mathrm{i} \mathrm{ibre}, U}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \hat{\bar{N}}_{k}+\frac{1}{4}(\hat{n}-\hat{w})^{2} \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

The Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{I}^{\text {fibre }}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{I}^{\text {fibre }}=\bigoplus_{N_{p}, \bar{N}_{q}} \bigoplus_{n, w} a_{-1}^{N_{1}} a_{-2}^{N_{2}} \cdots \bar{a}_{-1}^{\bar{N}_{1}} \bar{a}_{-2}^{\bar{N}_{2}} \cdots|(n, w)\rangle \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $N_{p}$ and $\bar{N}_{q}$ non-negative integers and $n, w \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now using (2.16) and taking the trace over $\mathcal{H}_{I}^{\text {fibre }}$ one finds,

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{[I, I]}^{\mathrm{fibre}}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) & =\frac{1}{|\eta(\tau)|^{2}} \sum_{n, w \in \mathbb{Z}}\langle(n, w)| q^{\frac{1}{4}(\hat{n}+\hat{w})^{2}} \bar{q}^{\frac{1}{4}(\hat{n}-\hat{w})^{2}}|(n, w)\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{|\eta(\tau)|^{2}} \sum_{n, w \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\frac{1}{4}(n+w)^{2}} \bar{q}^{\frac{1}{4}(n-w)^{2}}=\left|\frac{\theta_{2}(2 \tau)}{\eta(\tau)}\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{\theta_{3}(2 \tau)}{\eta(\tau)}\right|^{2} \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

For computing $Z_{[I, \sigma]}^{\mathrm{fibre}}=Z_{\left[I, T^{\prime}\right]}^{\mathrm{fibre}}$ we split the untwisted space $\mathcal{H}_{I}^{\text {fibre }}$ into T-even and T-odd subspaces,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{+}= & \bigoplus_{\substack{N_{p}, \bar{N}_{q} \\
\sum \bar{N}_{q}=\text { even }}} \bigoplus_{n, w} a_{-1}^{N_{1}} a_{-2}^{N_{2}} \cdots \bar{a}_{-1}^{\bar{N}_{1}} \bar{a}_{-2}^{\bar{N}_{2}} \cdots\left(|(n, w)\rangle+(-1)^{n w}|(w, n)\rangle\right) \\
& \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{N_{p}, \bar{N}_{q} \\
\sum N_{q}=\text { odd }}} \bigoplus_{n, w} a_{-1}^{N_{1}} a_{-2}^{N_{2}} \cdots \bar{a}_{-1}^{N_{1}} \bar{a}_{-2}^{\bar{N}_{2}} \cdots\left(|(n, w)\rangle-(-1)^{n w}|(w, n)\rangle\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}_{-}= & \bigoplus_{\substack{N_{p}, \bar{N}_{q} \\
\sum \bar{N}_{q}=\text { odd }}} \bigoplus_{n, w} a_{-1}^{N_{1}} a_{-2}^{N_{2}} \cdots \bar{a}_{-1}^{\bar{N}_{1}} \bar{a}_{-2}^{\bar{N}_{2}} \cdots\left(|(n, w)\rangle+(-1)^{n w}|(w, n)\rangle\right) \\
& \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{N_{p}, \bar{N}_{q} \\
\sum \bar{N}_{q}=\text { even }}} \bigoplus_{n, w} a_{-1}^{N_{1}} a_{-2}^{N_{2}} \cdots \bar{a}_{-1}^{\bar{N}_{1}} \bar{a}_{-2}^{\bar{N}_{2}} \cdots\left(|(n, w)\rangle-(-1)^{n w}|(w, n)\rangle\right) . \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

It can be checked that $T^{\prime} u= \pm u, u \in \mathcal{F}_{ \pm}$. Taking the trace over $\mathcal{H}_{I}^{\text {fibre }}$ with $T^{\prime}$ inserted in the temporal direction, we see that when $n \neq w$ the traces over $\mathcal{F}_{+}$and $\mathcal{F}_{-}$cancel each other, so the contribution comes only from the fixed points $n=w$ of the $T^{\prime}$-transformation. The trace is then,

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{[I, \sigma]}^{\text {fibre }}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) & \equiv \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{I}^{\text {frer }}} T^{\prime} q^{L_{0}^{\text {fiber }}-\frac{1}{24}} \bar{q}^{\bar{L}_{0}^{\text {fiber }}-\frac{1}{24}} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{n=w \\
n, w \in \mathbb{Z}}}\langle(n, w)| \frac{(-1)^{n w} q^{\frac{1}{4}(\hat{n}+\hat{w})^{2}} \bar{q}^{\frac{1}{4}(\hat{n}-\hat{w})^{2}}}{\eta(\tau) \bar{q}^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1+\bar{q}^{k}\right)}|(w, n)\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{\eta(\tau)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{n} q^{n^{2}} \cdot \overline{\sqrt{\frac{2 \eta(\tau)}{\theta_{2}(\tau)}}}=\left|\frac{2 \eta(\tau)}{\theta_{2}(\tau)}\right| . \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

In the last line we made use of identity (A.4). Taking modular transformations we also obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{[\sigma, I]}^{\text {fibr }}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \equiv \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\sigma}^{\text {frbe }}} q^{L_{0}^{\text {fibre }}-\frac{1}{24}} \bar{q}_{0}^{\text {fibre }}-\frac{1}{24}\left(=Z_{[I, \sigma]}^{\text {fibe }}(-1 / \tau,-1 / \bar{\tau})\right)=\left|\frac{2 \eta(\tau)}{\theta_{4}(\tau)}\right|,  \tag{2.21}\\
& Z_{[\sigma, \sigma]}^{\text {fibre }}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \equiv \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{b}^{\text {frre }}} T^{\prime} q^{L_{0}^{\text {fibre }}-\frac{1}{24}} \bar{q}^{\text {fibre }}-\frac{1}{24}\left(=Z_{[\sigma, I]}^{\text {fibre }}(\tau+1, \bar{\tau}+1)\right)=\left|\frac{2 \eta(\tau)}{\theta_{3}(\tau)}\right| . \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

The expressions (2.18), (2.20), (2.21), (2.22) are the the partition traces of the fibre part of the T-fold. They are nothing but those of the $c=1$ CFT at the Kosterlitz-Thouless point.

In the computations above it was essential to include in the definition of T duality (2.10) the phase factor $e^{i \pi \hat{n} \hat{w}}$ that is associated with the locality of vertex operators. Since $\hat{n} \hat{w}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\hat{p}_{L}^{2}-\hat{p}_{R}^{2}\right)$ this factor contributes $e^{\frac{i \pi}{4} \hat{p}_{L}^{2}}$ to the left-moving sector and $e^{-\frac{i \pi}{4} \hat{p}_{R}^{2}}$ to the right-moving sector. The authors of (16] also compute the same partition traces based on a slightly different approach, with T-duality defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\prime \prime}: X_{L} \rightarrow X_{L}+\frac{1}{2} \pi, \quad X_{R} \rightarrow-X_{R}, \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

instead of $(2.10) .{ }^{5}$ A merit of this approach is that the left and right sectors of the fibre can be treated separately as two chiral orbifolds whose covering spaces are both $S^{1}$ at self-dual radius. The left part of the action (2.23) generates a shift orbifold, namely CFT of a boson

[^3]on $S^{1}$ at the radius reduced by half (i.e. it operates as a 'chiral half-shift operator'). The right part generates a reflection orbifold $S^{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, i.e. a line element of length $\pi$. As is well known these two chiral CFTs are equivalent; the orbifold group (2.23) acts on currents $J^{ \pm}=e^{ \pm 2 i X_{L}}, J^{3}=i \partial X_{L}, \bar{J}^{ \pm}=e^{ \pm 2 i X_{R}}, \bar{J}^{3}=i \partial X_{R}$, of the underlying $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{L} \times \mathrm{SU}(2)_{R}$ symmetry as $J^{ \pm} \rightarrow-J^{ \pm}, J^{3} \rightarrow J^{3}, \bar{J}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \bar{J}^{\mp}, \bar{J}^{3} \rightarrow-\bar{J}^{3}$, or
\[

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
J^{1} \rightarrow-J^{1}, & J^{2} \rightarrow-J^{2}, & J^{3} \rightarrow J^{3}, \\
\bar{J}^{1} \rightarrow \bar{J}^{1}, & \bar{J}^{2} \rightarrow-\bar{J}^{2}, & \bar{J}^{3} \rightarrow-\bar{J}^{3} .
\end{array}
$$
\]

In other words one can identify

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\prime \prime}=\left(e^{i \pi J_{0}^{3}}, e^{i \pi \bar{J}_{0}}\right) . \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the left and right actions of $T^{\prime \prime}$ are equivalent up to a global $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ rotation, the resulting orbifold CFTs should be equivalent.

In this picture the left and right CFTs are represented by chiral bosons with (anti)periodic boundary conditions,

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{L}\left(z+k \omega_{1}+\ell \omega_{2}\right)=X_{L}(z)+\frac{1}{2} \pi(k(2 w+\alpha)+\ell(2 m+\beta)),  \tag{2.26}\\
& X_{R}\left(\bar{z}+k \bar{\omega}_{1}+\ell \bar{\omega}_{2}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
X_{R}(\bar{z})+\pi(k w+\ell m) & (\alpha, \beta)=(0,0), \\
e^{\pi i(k \alpha+\ell \beta)} X_{R}(\bar{z}) & (\alpha, \beta) \neq(0,0),
\end{array}\right. \tag{2.27}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in\{0,1\}$ represent boundary conditions and correspond to $0 \leftrightarrow I$ and $1 \leftrightarrow \sigma$ of the orbifold sectors. $\omega_{1}(=1), \omega_{2}(=\tau)$ are the two periods of the world-sheet torus and $w, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The partition traces of these chiral bosons can be found by path-integral (see e.g. (30, 31]) and are shown to coincide with (2.18), (2.29), (2.21), (2.22).

In the following sections, we shall work with the $T^{\prime \prime}$-operator rather than $T^{\prime}$ in order to make the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$-structure manifest.

### 2.4 Modular invariance of the partition function

The base part of the T-fold is a free boson $Y(z, \bar{z})=Y_{L}(z)+Y_{R}(\bar{z})$, defined (in the covering space) on $S^{1}$ of radius $2 R$. As the group action $\sigma$ of the orbifold shifts $Y$ by $2 \pi R$, the periodicity of $Y$ is odd (even) integer multiple of $2 \pi R$ when there is (there is not) a $\sigma$-twisting. We thus consider periodic boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{L}\left(z+k \omega_{1}+\ell \omega_{2}\right)=Y_{L}(z)+\pi R(k w+\ell m), \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and likewise for $Y_{R}$, where $\omega_{1,2}$ are as in (2.26) and $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. The partition function for each boundary condition $(w, m)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{R,(w, m)}(\tau, \bar{\tau})=\frac{R}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \tau}} \frac{1}{|\eta(\tau)|^{2}} \exp \left\{-\frac{\pi R^{2}|w \tau+m|^{2}}{\operatorname{Im} \tau}\right\} . \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the world-sheet $\omega_{1}\left(\omega_{2}\right)$ is the spatial (temporal) direction as before. As the twisting by $\mathcal{T}_{2 \pi R}$ in the $\omega_{1}\left(\omega_{2}\right)$ direction corresponds to $w(m)$ being odd, the partition trace (2.14)
of each sector is obtained by summing up $w$ and $m$ of appropriate parities,

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{[\alpha, \beta]}^{\text {base }}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) & =\sum_{w^{\prime}, m^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}} Z_{2 R,\left(w^{\prime}+\frac{\alpha}{2}, m^{\prime}+\frac{\beta}{2}\right)}(\tau, \bar{\tau})\left(\equiv 2 \sum_{\substack{w \in \in \mathbb{Z}+\alpha \\
m \in 2 \mathbb{Z}+\beta}} Z_{R,(w, m)}(\tau, \bar{\tau})\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{|\eta(\tau)|^{2}} \sum_{k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{\beta k} q^{\left(\frac{k}{4 R}+\frac{(2 \ell+\alpha) R}{2}\right)^{2}} \bar{q}^{\left(\frac{k}{4 R}-\frac{(2 \ell+\alpha) R}{2}\right)^{2}}, \tag{2.30}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, and we have Poisson-resummed to go to the last line. The correspondence between the notation here and that of $(2.14)$ is $(0,1) \leftrightarrow(I, \sigma)$. As can be easily checked these partition traces are modular covariant:

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{[\alpha, \beta]}^{\text {base }}(\tau+1, \bar{\tau}+1) & =Z_{[\alpha, \alpha+\beta]}^{\text {base }}(\tau, \bar{\tau}), \\
Z_{[\alpha, \beta]}^{\text {base }}(-1 / \tau,-1 / \bar{\tau}) & =Z_{[\beta, \alpha]}^{\text {base }}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \tag{2.31}
\end{align*}
$$

Assembling the base and the fibre pieces from the last subsection the one-loop partition function of the T-fold reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{\mathrm{T}-\text { fold }}(\tau, \bar{\tau})=\frac{1}{2} Z_{[0,0]}^{\text {base }}\left(\left|\frac{\theta_{2}(2 \tau)}{\eta(\tau)}\right|^{2}+\left|\frac{\theta_{3}(2 \tau)}{\eta(\tau)}\right|^{2}\right)+Z_{[0,1]}^{\text {base }}\left|\frac{\eta(\tau)}{\theta_{2}(\tau)}\right|+Z_{[1,0]}^{\text {base }}\left|\frac{\eta(\tau)}{\theta_{4}(\tau)}\right|+Z_{[1,1]}^{\text {base }}\left|\frac{\eta(\tau)}{\theta_{3}(\tau)}\right|, \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $Z_{[\alpha, \beta]}^{\text {base }}$ given by (2.30). As the fibre and the base parts are both modular covariant, the T -fold partition traces (2.13) are modular covariant and hence the partition function is modular invariant. Actually, (2.32) is just the same partition function as that of the symmetric orbifold

$$
\left[S_{1}^{1} \times S_{2 R}^{1}\right] /\left(\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{2 \pi R}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{R}$ acts as reflection on the fiber coordinates, $\mathcal{R}:\left(X_{L}, X_{R}\right) \rightarrow\left(-X_{L},-X_{R}\right)$. This of course is expected from the above construction of the modular invariant. This does not mean, however, that the T-fold CFT (the asymmetric orbifold) describes the same physics as the symmetric orbifold. As we shall see in the next section, the physics of D-branes in these two models differs significantly; this is one of our motivations to elaborate on the dynamics of T-fold boundary states in the next section.

We comment on T-duality along the base circle. The standard T-duality along the base is not a symmetry of the T-fold since the $\mathrm{U}(1)$ isometry is broken by the orbifold construction. Instead, the following interpolating orbifold may be regarded as the T-dual of the T -fold along the base:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[S_{1}^{1} \times S_{R / 2}^{1}\right] /\left(T^{\prime \prime} \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{2 \pi \frac{1}{R}}\right), \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the 'dual translation' $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{2 \pi \frac{1}{R}}$ is defined to act as $\left(Y_{L}, Y_{R}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y_{L}+2 \pi \frac{1}{2 R}, Y_{R}-\right.$ $2 \pi \frac{1}{2 R}$ ). Note that $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{2 \pi \frac{1}{R}}$ is interpretable as the double covering operator $S_{R / 2}^{1} / \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{2 \pi \frac{1}{R}} \cong S_{R}^{1}$, corresponding precisely to the T-dual of the half-shift operator. The modular invariant of this model is computed to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{\text {T-dual. T-fold }}(\tau, \bar{\tau})=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}} \widetilde{Z}_{R,[\alpha, \beta]}^{\text {base }}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) Z_{[\alpha, \beta]}^{\text {fibre }}(\tau, \bar{\tau}), \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{Z}_{R,[\alpha, \beta]}^{\text {base }}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \equiv \sum_{w, m \in \mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{\alpha m+\beta w} Z_{R / 2,(w, m)}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the dual radius $\tilde{R}=1 / R$ one can use the Poisson resummation to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{R,[\alpha, \beta]}^{\text {base }}(\tau, \bar{\tau})=\widetilde{Z}_{\tilde{R},[\alpha, \beta]}^{\text {base }}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the model (2.33) indeed has the partition function equal to that of the original T-fold with the base $S^{1}$ at the dual radius.

## 3. D-branes in the T-fold

In this section we study boundary states describing D-branes in the T-fold background described above. In orbifold theory there are two types of D-branes in general: bulk and fractional branes. The bulk branes are given by making the orbifold projection on the boundary states in the parent theory that are not invariant under the action of the orbifold group. In other words, these are just superpositions of branes and their 'images' of the orbifold action. In the T-fold these roughly correspond to superposition of Dirichlet and Neumann states in the fibre, times a base state. On the other hand, the fractional branes correspond to boundary conditions invariant under the orbifold action already in the parent theory (typically, the branes localized at the fixed points of orbifolds). Their boundary states involve contributions from the twisted sectors that are necessary for an orbifold projection in the open string Hilbert space [32]. It turns out that the both types of branes exist in the T-fold model.

### 3.1 Boundary conditions and boundary states

We start with general remarks before constructing the boundary states. The machinery of boundary conformal field theory is well developed for (symmetric) orbifold models [33[35]. Conformal field theory may generally have larger symmetries than Virasoro and the question of finding boundary states is closely related to which sub-symmetry of the full bulk symmetry the boundary should preserve. Clearly the most elementary boundary states are the Virasoro boundary states that are spanned by Virasoro Ishibashi states 36, since the conformal symmetry must be preserved by any boundary of CFT. In $c=1$ conformal theory there are other symmetries such as $\mathrm{U}(1)$ or the enhanced symmetries $\mathcal{A}_{N}$ or $\mathcal{A}_{N} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ that are present at various special points in the moduli space. ${ }^{6}$ The boundary does not necessarily preserve such an extended chiral symmetry but when it does it carries corresponding charges of the symmetry. In the case of Virasoro the boundary carries the label of Virasoro weight. For $\mathrm{U}(1)$ the boundary is characterised by momenta and winding numbers. When the conserved symmetry is the extended symmetry $\mathcal{A}_{N}$ or $\mathcal{A}_{N} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ the boundary is characterised by the representation labels of the rational CFT. When the

[^4]model allows a free field representation we also have familiar Dirichlet or Neumann states; Dirichlet is characterised by the position of the D-brane while a Neumann boundary can carry a Wilson line parameter. In general we have better control of boundary states when the preserved symmetry is larger. As we are ultimately interested in the physics of the string background we shall try to construct analogues of Dirichlet and Neumann states. This is straightforward in the bulk brane case as the concept of Dirichlet and Neumann is just inherited from the parent theory. In constructing fractional states we will first look at the extended symmetries $\mathcal{A}_{4}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

For constructed boundary states we shall check the Cardy conditions, considering the cylindrical (annular) world-sheet. Namely, the closed string amplitude $Z^{c}(i s)=$ $\left\langle B_{a}\right| e^{-\pi s H^{c}}\left|B_{b}\right\rangle$ should be equated to the open string one-loop amplitude $Z^{o}(i t)=$ $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{a b}} e^{-2 \pi t H^{\circ}}$ by modular transformation $t=1 / s$, with boundary conditions corresponding to the boundary states $\left\langle B_{a}\right|$ and $\left|B_{b}\right\rangle$. Here $H^{c} \equiv L_{0}+\bar{L}_{0}-\frac{c}{12}, H^{o} \equiv L_{0}^{\text {open }}-\frac{c}{24}$ are the closed and open string Hamiltonians. When both $\left\langle B_{a}\right|$ and $\left|B_{b}\right\rangle$ are fractional branes, the open string amplitude has to be suitably orbifold-projected: $Z^{o}(i t)=$ $\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{a b}}\left[h e^{-2 \pi t H^{\circ}}\right]$.

### 3.2 Bulk branes

Let us first recall that familiar Dirichlet and Neumann states of a compact boson on a circle of radius $R$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|D\left(x_{0}\right)\right\rangle_{R}=\frac{1}{2^{1 / 4} \sqrt{R}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-i n x_{0} / R} \exp \left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} a_{-k} \bar{a}_{-k}\right\}|(n, 0)\rangle, \\
& \left|N\left(\tilde{x}_{0}\right)\right\rangle_{R}=\frac{\sqrt{R}}{2^{1 / 4}} \sum_{w \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-i w \tilde{x}_{0} R} \exp \left\{-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} a_{-k} \bar{a}_{-k}\right\}|(0, w)\rangle, \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

with $x_{0}$ ( $\tilde{x}_{0}$ ) parametrising the position of the D-brane (Wilson line on the Neumann state). Their overall normalisation has been chosen so that the overlaps yield consistent open string spectra (the Cardy conditions) ( $\left.\Delta x_{0} \equiv x_{0}-x_{0}^{\prime}, \Delta \tilde{x}_{0} \equiv \tilde{x}_{0}-\tilde{x}_{0}^{\prime}, t \equiv 1 / s\right)$;

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{R}\left\langle D\left(x_{0}\right)\right| e^{-\pi s H^{c}}\left|D\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle_{R} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} R} \frac{1}{\eta(i s)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-2 \pi \frac{n^{2}}{4 R^{2}}} e^{i \frac{\Delta x_{0}}{R} n} \\
& =\frac{1}{\eta(i t)} \sum_{w \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-2 \pi t\left(R w+\frac{\Delta x_{0}}{2 \pi}\right)^{2}} \equiv Z_{R}^{D D}\left(i t ; \Delta x_{0}\right) \\
{ }_{R}\left\langle N\left(\tilde{x}_{0}\right)\right| e^{-\pi s H^{c}}\left|N\left(\tilde{x}_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle_{R} & =\frac{R}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\eta(i s)} \sum_{w \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-2 \pi s \frac{R^{2} w^{2}}{4}} e^{i R \Delta \tilde{x}_{0} w} \\
& =\frac{1}{\eta(i t)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-2 \pi t\left(\frac{n}{R}+\frac{\Delta \tilde{x}_{0}}{2 \pi}\right)^{2}} \equiv Z_{R}^{N N}\left(i t ; \Delta \tilde{x}_{0}\right) \\
{ }_{R}\left\langle D\left(x_{0}\right)\right| e^{-\pi s H^{c}}\left|N\left(\tilde{x}_{0}\right)\right\rangle_{R} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{2 \eta(i s)}{\theta_{2}(i s)}}=\sqrt{\frac{\eta(i t)}{\theta_{4}(i t)}} \equiv Z^{D N}(i t) . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

We wish to find boundary states of the T-fold that are combination of such Dirichlet and Neumann states. As already addressed, we regard the T-fold as the orbifold of
$S_{1}^{1}$ (fibre) $\times S_{2 R}^{1}$ (base) with respect to the involution $\sigma \equiv T^{\prime \prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{2 \pi R}$, where the improved $T$-operator $T^{\prime \prime}$ is defined by (2.23).

The position of a localized D-brane in the base direction will be denoted by $y_{0}$, and for a Neumann state the value of Wilson line in the base by $\tilde{y}_{0}$. On the other hand, in the fibre direction, it is convenient to express the open string modulus (position or Wilson line) by a common angle variable $\theta$ because the fibre circle is self-dual.

An obvious way of constructing a bulk brane is to act the T-fold operator $\sigma \equiv T^{\prime \prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{2 \pi R}$ on a boundary state of $S_{1}^{1} \times S_{2 R}^{1}$. For instance, if taking Dirichlet conditions in both fibre and base directions, the desired boundary state will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D(\theta) D\left(y_{0}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{1+\sigma}{\sqrt{2}}|D(\theta)\rangle_{1}^{\text {fibre }} \otimes\left|D\left(y_{0}\right)\right\rangle_{2 R}^{\text {base }} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The normalisation factor of $1 / \sqrt{2}$ is for consistency with the Cardy conditions (note that $\left.\frac{1}{2}(1+\sigma)^{2}=1+\sigma\right) . \sigma$ acts on the base Dirichlet state as translation by $2 \pi R$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma:\left|D\left(y_{0}\right)\right\rangle_{2 R}^{\text {base }} \rightarrow\left|D\left(y_{0}+2 \pi R\right)\right\rangle_{2 R}^{\text {base }} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

while it acts trivially on the Neumann state,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma:\left|N\left(\tilde{y}_{0}\right)\right\rangle_{2 R}^{\text {base }} \rightarrow\left|N\left(\tilde{y}_{0}\right)\right\rangle_{2 R}^{\text {base }} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The action of $\sigma$ on the fibre is slightly non-trivial due to phase ambiguity of the Fock vacua. We choose the phase so that $\sigma$ acts on the fibre states as ${ }^{7}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma:|D(\theta)\rangle^{\text {fibre }} \leftrightarrow|N(\theta)\rangle^{\text {fibre }} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

in accordance with the standard order 2 relation of T-duality $\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}=\mathbf{1}$.
The bulk $D D$ brane (3.3) is organised into a superposition of direct products of ordinary Dirichlet/Neumann states,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D(\theta) D\left(y_{0}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|D(\theta)\rangle_{1}^{\text {fibre }} \otimes\left|D\left(y_{0}\right)\right\rangle_{2 R}^{\text {base }}+|N(\theta)\rangle_{1}^{\text {fibre }} \otimes\left|D\left(y_{0}+2 \pi R\right)\right\rangle_{2 R}^{\text {base }}\right) . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

One may construct similar states by starting from the $D N, N D, N N$ states and then projecting onto the invariant subspaces,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|D(\theta) N\left(\tilde{y}_{0}\right)\right\rangle & =\frac{1+\sigma}{\sqrt{2}}|D(\theta)\rangle_{1}^{\text {fibe }} \otimes\left|N\left(\tilde{y}_{0}\right)\right\rangle_{2 R}^{\text {base }} \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|D(\theta)\rangle_{1}^{\text {fibre }}+|N(\theta)\rangle_{1}^{\text {fibre }}\right) \otimes\left|N\left(\tilde{y}_{0}\right)\right\rangle_{2 R}^{\text {base }}, \\
\left|N(\theta) D\left(y_{0}\right)\right\rangle & =\frac{1+\sigma}{\sqrt{2}}|N(\theta)\rangle_{1}^{\text {fibre }} \otimes\left|D\left(y_{0}\right)\right\rangle_{2 R}^{\text {base }} \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|N(\theta)\rangle_{1}^{\text {fibre }} \otimes\left|D\left(y_{0}\right)\right\rangle_{2 R}^{\text {base }}+|D(\theta)\rangle_{1}^{\text {fibre }} \otimes\left|D\left(y_{0}+2 \pi R\right)\right\rangle_{2 R}^{\text {base }}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

[^5]\[

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|N(\theta) N\left(\tilde{y}_{0}\right)\right\rangle & =\frac{1+\sigma}{\sqrt{2}}|N(\theta)\rangle_{1}^{\text {fibre }} \otimes\left|N\left(\tilde{y}_{0}\right)\right\rangle_{2 R}^{\text {base }} \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|N(\theta)\rangle_{1}^{\text {fibre }}+|D(\theta)\rangle_{1}^{\text {fibre }}\right) \otimes\left|N\left(\tilde{y}_{0}\right)\right\rangle_{2 R}^{\text {base }} \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

It is obvious from the construction that these four states are actually not all distinct but only two are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|N(\theta) D\left(y_{0}\right)\right\rangle=\left|D(\theta) D\left(y_{0}+2 \pi R\right)\right\rangle, \quad\left|N(\theta) N\left(\tilde{y}_{0}\right)\right\rangle=\left|D(\theta) N\left(\tilde{y}_{0}\right)\right\rangle . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is straightforward to compute overlaps between these bulk brane states. Using the notation of (3.2) we find $\left(\Delta \theta \equiv \theta-\theta^{\prime}, \Delta y_{0} \equiv y_{0}-y_{0}^{\prime}, \Delta \tilde{y}_{0} \equiv \tilde{y}_{0}-\tilde{y}_{0}^{\prime}\right)$

$$
\left\langle D(\theta) D\left(y_{0}\right)\right| e^{-\pi s H^{c}}\left|D\left(\theta^{\prime}\right) D\left(y_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=Z_{1}^{D D}(i t ; \Delta \theta) Z_{2 R}^{D D}\left(i t ; \Delta y_{0}\right)+Z^{D N}(i t) Z_{2 R}^{D D}\left(i t ; \Delta y_{0}+2 \pi R\right),
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle D(\theta) N\left(\tilde{y}_{0}\right)\right| e^{-\pi s H^{c}}\left|D\left(\theta^{\prime}\right) N\left(\tilde{y}_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=\left\{Z_{1}^{D D}(i t ; \Delta \theta)+Z^{D N}(i t)\right\} Z_{2 R}^{N N}\left(i t ; \Delta \tilde{y}_{0}\right), \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle D(\theta) D\left(y_{0}\right)\right| e^{-\pi s H^{c}}\left|D\left(\theta^{\prime}\right) N\left(\tilde{y}_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=\left\{Z_{1}^{D D}(i t ; \Delta \theta)+Z^{D N}(i t)\right\} Z^{D N}(i t) . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to check that each overlap represents a sum of Virasoro characters with nonnegative integer multiplicity in the open string sector, satisfying the Cardy conditions.

### 3.3 Fractional branes

One way of constructing fractional branes is to use the fact that the fibre CFT of the T-fold that we are considering is rational with respect to extended algebra $\mathcal{A}_{4} \simeq \mathcal{A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ (in the notation of [29]; see appendix B). Let us recall construction of Ishibashi states in rational conformal theory first. We assume the theory to be diagonal and look for boundary states that conserve the whole chiral algebra. The conservation of the chiral symmetry on the boundary is characterised by trivial gluing conditions of the generators on the boundary states,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(W_{m}-(-1)^{h_{W}} \bar{W}_{-m}\right)|B\rangle=0, \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W_{m}\left(\bar{W}_{m}\right)$ are the mode operators of the left (right) chiral algebra generators, and $h_{W}$ is the spin of the $W$ operator $\left(h_{W}=h_{\bar{W}}\right)$. The conditions (3.13) include as a special case the conformal invariance (Ishibashi) conditions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{m}-\bar{L}_{-m}\right)|B\rangle=0 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

meaning that the left and right stress tensors are analytic on the boundary, $[T-\bar{T}]_{\partial \Sigma}=0$. As the condition (3.13) is linear any linear sum of $|B\rangle$ also satisfies this condition. A standard choice of basis in the space of such states is the Ishibashi states

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\alpha\rangle\rangle=\sum_{N}|\alpha ; N\rangle \otimes U \overline{|\alpha ; N\rangle}, \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

| Sector | T-even (untwisted) |  | T-odd (twisted) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conformal weight | 0 | 1 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{16}$ | $\frac{9}{16}$ |
| $\mathcal{A}_{4}$ primary | $\phi_{0}$ | $\phi_{4}$ | $\phi_{2}, \phi_{6}$ | $\phi_{1}, \phi_{7}$ | $\phi_{3}, \phi_{5}$ |
| $\mathcal{A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ primary | $\mathbb{I}$ | $j$ | $\phi_{2}^{1}, \phi_{2}^{2}$ | $\sigma^{1}, \sigma^{2}$ | $\tau^{1}, \tau^{2}$ |

Table 1: Correspondence of the primary fields in $\mathcal{A}_{4}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ rational theories.
where $\alpha$ is the label for modules and $N$ is the label for states within each module. The antiunitary operator $U$ comes from time reflection. An Ishibashi state (3.15) intertwines the left and right Hilbert spaces; as the chiral blocks are irreducible representations of the chiral symmetry it follows from Schur's lemma that the intertwiners must be trivial. We have seen in section 2 that the T -fold with the self-dual fibre may be reformulated (using $T^{\prime \prime}$ ) so that the state space factorises into the left and right sectors that are isomorphic to each other. This allows us to use Ishibashi states of the form (3.15) to analyse D-branes of the T-fold.

The left part of the fibre is a compact chiral boson on $S^{1}$ at radius $1 / 2$. The theory is rational with respect to the extended symmetry $\mathcal{A}_{4}$, with eight primary fields $\phi_{k=0, \ldots, 7}$. They are realised by vertex operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{k}(z)=e^{i k X_{L}(z) / 2} . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right part of the fibre is a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ orbifold chiral boson at self-dual orbifold radius. It is rational with respect to chiral algebra $\mathcal{A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and has eight primaries: the identity $\mathbb{I}$, the current operator $j$, a pair of operators $\phi_{2}^{i}(i=1,2)$ that are inherited from the parent $S^{1} \mathrm{CFT}$, and four twist operators $\sigma^{i}$ and $\tau^{i}$. Basic features of these rational theories are summarised in appendix $B$. The two chiral boson theories of the left and right parts of the fibre are equivalent, and there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the states. The correspondence of the rational CFT primaries is summarised in table 1.

As being the same chiral CFT the correspondence is not limited to the level of rational CFT primaries but persists also at the level of the Virasoro primaries. It is convenient to introduce an isomorphic map $\iota$ from a state of the $\mathcal{A}_{4}$ CFT to the corresponding state in the $\mathcal{A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ CFT. Using this map we may write, for example, $\iota\left|\phi_{0}\right\rangle=|\mathbb{I}\rangle$. Eight Ishibashi states corresponding to the eight rational primaries of the fibre of the T -fold are then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|\phi_{k}\right\rangle\right\rangle=\sum_{N}\left|\phi_{k} ; N\right\rangle \otimes \overline{\left|\iota \phi_{k} ; N\right\rangle} . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\phi_{k}$ are the $\mathcal{A}_{4}$ primary labels, while $\iota \phi_{k}$ refer to $\mathcal{A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ primaries. The Cardy boundary states are found in the usual way (see ( $\overline{\mathrm{B} .13}$ ) below),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|\phi_{k}\right\rangle_{C}=2^{-\frac{3}{4}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{7} e^{-i \pi k \ell / 4}\left|\phi_{\ell}\right\rangle\right\rangle, \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

using the fibre Ishibashi states defined above. These are linear sums of T -even states $\left.\left|\phi_{0,2,4,6}\right\rangle\right\rangle$ and T-odd states $\left.\left|\phi_{1,3,5,7}\right\rangle\right\rangle$. We have to choose Neumann condition on the base
as it is invariant under the shift $\mathcal{T}_{2 \pi R}: Y \rightarrow Y+2 \pi R$. With Wilson line $\tilde{y}_{0} \in S^{1}$ turned on, the base Neumann state is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|N\left(\tilde{y}_{0}, \alpha\right)\right\rangle^{\text {base }}=2^{1 / 4} \sqrt{R} \sum_{w \in 2 \mathbb{Z}+\alpha} e^{-i w \tilde{y}_{0} R} e^{-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} b_{-m} \bar{b}_{-m}}|(n=0, w)\rangle^{\text {base }} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{m}, \bar{b}_{m}$ are the left and right mode operators of the base field $Y$, and $\alpha=0$ (1) in the untwisted (twisted) sector. Fractional boundary states of the full T-fold theory is found by combining the fibre with the base in such a way that the fibre is T-even (odd) when the base winding number is even (odd). This is analogous to the construction of the one-loop partition function. We thus find fractional brane states,

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|\phi_{k}^{\text {fibre }} N^{\text {base }}\left(\tilde{y}_{0}\right)\right\rangle=\sqrt{\frac{R}{2}}\left\{\sum_{\substack{w \in 2 \mathbb{Z} \\
\ell=0,2,4,6}} e^{-i w \tilde{y}_{0} R-\frac{i \pi k \ell}{4}} e^{-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{-m} \bar{b}_{-m}}{m}}\left|\phi_{\ell}\right\rangle\right\rangle^{\mathrm{fibre}}|(0, w)\rangle^{\text {base }}  \tag{3.20}\\
&\left.\left.+\sum_{\substack{w \in 2 \mathbb{Z}+1 \\
\ell=1,3,5,7}} e^{-i w \tilde{y}_{0} R-\frac{i \pi k \ell}{4}} e^{-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{-m} \bar{b}-m}{m}}\left|\phi_{\ell}\right\rangle\right\rangle^{\mathrm{fibre}}|(0, w)\rangle^{\text {base }}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

The fibre is characterized by the RCFT primary of $\left(\mathcal{A}_{4}\right)^{L} \otimes\left(\mathcal{A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{R}$ and the label is taken from the left part $\left(\phi_{k}\right)$. It is not quite correct to call the fibre part as Neumann or Dirichlet; the Cardy states of the $\mathcal{A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ CFT (the right-moving sector) are identified with 4 Dirichlet and 4 Neumann states at the orbifold fixed points, while those of the $\mathcal{A}_{4}$ theory (the left-moving sector) may be identified as Neumann states with Wilson line values at evenly spaced 8 points on the $S^{1}$, that is $\tilde{x}_{0}=0, \frac{1}{2} \pi, \pi, \ldots, \frac{7}{2} \pi$. Although there is no naturally defined momentum or winding number in the twisted sector of the fibre, it is clear from the construction that one may introduce ground states $|[n, w]\rangle$ with the momentum $n$ and the winding $w$ inherited from the left-moving $\mathcal{A}_{4}$ CFT. Introducing also mode operators $\bar{a}_{m}^{\prime}(m \in \mathbb{Z})$ in the right-moving sector that correspond to $a_{n}$ in the leftmoving sector, one may identify the Cardy states (3.18) with 'Neumann' states having Wilson line $\tilde{x}_{0}=\frac{k \pi}{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\phi_{k}\right\rangle_{C}=2^{-\frac{3}{4}} \sum_{w \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\frac{i \pi w k}{4}} e^{-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} a_{-m} \bar{a}_{-m}^{\prime}}|[0, w]\rangle^{\mathrm{fibre}} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this notation the Ishibashi states may be written as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|\phi_{\ell}\right\rangle\right\rangle=e^{-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} a_{-m} \bar{a}_{-m}^{\prime}} \sum_{w \in \mathbb{Z}}|[0, \ell+8 w]\rangle^{\text {fibre }} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting (3.22) into (3.20) one may rewrite the fractional states as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\phi_{k}^{\text {fibre }} N^{\text {base }}\left(\tilde{y}_{0}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{R}{2}}\left\{\sum_{\substack{w \in 2 \mathbb{Z} \\
\ell=0,2,4,6}} e^{-i w \tilde{y}_{0} R-\frac{i \pi k \ell}{4}} e^{-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m}\left(a_{-m} \bar{a}_{-m}^{\prime}+b_{-m} \bar{b}_{-m}\right)} \sum_{w^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\left[0, \ell+8 w^{\prime}\right]\right\rangle^{\text {fibre }}|(0, w)\rangle^{\text {base }}\right. \\
& \\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{\substack{w \in 2 \mathbb{Z}+1 \\
\ell=1,3,5,7}} e^{-i w \tilde{y}_{0} R-\frac{i \pi k \ell}{4}} e^{-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m}\left(a_{-m} \bar{a}_{-m}^{\prime}+b-m \bar{b}_{-m}\right)} \sum_{w^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|\left[0, \ell+8 w^{\prime}\right]\right\rangle^{\text {fibre }}|(0, w)\rangle^{\text {base }}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recalling that $k$ is related to the value of the Wilson line of the $\mathcal{A}_{4}$ theory by $\frac{1}{2} k \pi=\tilde{x}_{0} \equiv \theta$ one may write the fractional states parametrised by $\theta$ and $\tilde{y}_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F ; \theta, \tilde{y}_{0}\right\rangle=\sqrt{\frac{R}{2}} \sum_{\substack{w, \ell \in \mathbb{Z} \\ w-\ell \in 2 \mathbb{Z}}} e^{-i w \tilde{y}_{0} R-\frac{i \ell \theta}{2}} e^{-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m}\left(a_{-m} \bar{a}_{-m}^{\prime}+b_{-m} \bar{b}_{-m}\right)}|[0, \ell]\rangle^{\text {fibre }}|(0, w)\rangle^{\text {base }} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the parameter $\theta$ may be regarded continuous, reflecting unbroken $\mathrm{U}(1)$ symmetry of the moduli. It is periodic and we take its range as $0 \leq \theta<4 \pi$.

Instead of the somewhat cluttered bottom up approach described above one may formulate the fractional states by focusing on the underlying $\operatorname{SU}(2)_{1}$ symmetry of the fibre. An advantage of this method is that it is easier to evaluate cylinder amplitudes with the bulk branes. We start with recalling that the T-duality operator $T^{\prime \prime}$ (2.24) acts as asymmetric rotations on the fibre

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\prime \prime}=\left(e^{i \pi J_{0}^{3}}, e^{i \pi \bar{J}_{0}^{1}}\right), \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $J^{a}$ and $\bar{J}^{a}$ the left and right $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{1}$ currents. It is convenient to introduce an automorphism $\kappa$ of $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{1}$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa J^{1} \kappa^{-1}=J^{3}, \quad \kappa J^{2} \kappa^{-1}=J^{2}, \quad \kappa J^{3} \kappa^{-1}=-J^{1}, \quad \kappa \bar{J}^{a} \kappa^{-1}=\bar{J}^{a} . \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The point is that $\kappa$ interpolates between the standard reflection orbifold and the orbifold generated by $T^{\prime \prime}$. Indeed, the reflection of the fibre $\mathcal{R}: X=\left(X_{L}, X_{R}\right) \rightarrow-X$ may be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}=\left(e^{i \pi J_{0}^{1}}, e^{i \pi \bar{J}_{0}^{1}}\right) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa \mathcal{R} \kappa^{-1}=T^{\prime \prime} . \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for the untwisted Hilbert space (i.e. the integrable reps. of $\left.\operatorname{SU}(2)_{1}\right)$, we may explicitly write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa=e^{i \frac{\pi}{2} J_{0}^{2}}, \quad \kappa^{-1}=e^{-i \frac{\pi}{2} J_{0}^{2}} . \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the twisted sector $\kappa$ cannot be written as (3.29) since $J^{2}$ does not have zero-mode on $\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{R}}$ or $\mathcal{H}^{T^{\prime \prime}}$. It is nevertheless clear ${ }^{8}$ that $\kappa$ may be extended to isomorphism between the $\mathcal{R}$-twisted Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{R}}$ and the $T^{\prime \prime}$-twisted Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^{T^{\prime \prime}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa: \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{R}} \xlongequal{\cong} \mathcal{H}^{T^{\prime \prime}} . \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

We wish to find boundary conditions that are invariant under operation of $\sigma \equiv T^{\prime \prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{2 \pi R}$. Along the base circle we have to choose as before Neumann conditions as they are invariant under the shift, $\mathcal{T}_{a}\left|N ; \tilde{y}_{0}\right\rangle_{2 R}=\left|N ; \tilde{y}_{0}\right\rangle_{2 R}$. On the fibre desired boundary states are obtained from the usual reflection orbifold by using the interpolation $\kappa$. There is a one-parameter (Wilson line) family of fractional branes in the reflection orbifold: ${ }^{9}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F ; \theta\rangle^{\mathcal{R}}=\frac{e^{2 i \theta J_{0}^{1}}}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|N\rangle_{1}+|N\rangle_{1}^{\mathcal{R}}\right), \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is obviously reflection invariant, $\mathcal{R}|F ; \theta\rangle^{\mathcal{R}}=|F ; \theta\rangle^{\mathcal{R}}$. The Neumann boundary state in the $\mathcal{R}$-twisted sector $|N\rangle_{1}^{\mathcal{R}}$ is characterized by

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(J_{n}^{1}+\bar{J}_{-n}^{1}\right)|N\rangle_{1}^{\mathcal{R}} & =0, & & (n \in \mathbb{Z}), \\
\left(J_{r}^{a}+\bar{J}_{-r}^{a}\right)|N\rangle_{1}^{\mathcal{R}} & =0, & & \left(r \in \frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}, \quad a=2,3\right), \\
{ }_{1}^{\mathcal{R}}\langle N| e^{-\pi s H^{(c)}} e^{2 \pi i z J_{0}^{1}}|N\rangle_{1}^{\mathcal{R}} & =\frac{\Theta_{1 / 2,1}(z \mid i s)+\Theta_{-1 / 2,1}(z \mid i s)}{\sqrt{2} \eta(i s)} & & \\
& =\frac{1}{\eta(i t)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{n} e^{-2 \pi t\left(n+\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2}} . & & (t \equiv 1 / s)
\end{align*}
$$

Fractional branes of the $T^{\prime \prime}$-orbifold are obtained from (3.31) using $\kappa$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F ; \theta\rangle^{T^{\prime \prime}}=\kappa|F ; \theta\rangle^{\mathcal{R}}=\kappa \frac{e^{2 i \theta J_{0}^{1}}}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|N\rangle_{1}+|N\rangle_{1}^{\mathcal{R}}\right)=\frac{e^{2 i \theta J_{0}^{3}}}{\sqrt{2}} \kappa\left(|N\rangle_{1}+|N\rangle_{1}^{\mathcal{R}}\right) . \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fractional brane states of the T-fold model associated with the combined operation $\sigma=T^{\prime \prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{2 \pi R}$ then read

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F ; \theta, \tilde{y}_{0}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left|N\left(\tilde{y}_{0}\right)\right\rangle_{2 R} \otimes e^{2 i \theta J_{0}^{3}} \kappa|N\rangle_{1}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left|N\left(\tilde{y}_{0}\right)\right\rangle_{2 R}^{\mathcal{T}} \otimes e^{2 i \theta J_{0}^{3}} \kappa|N\rangle_{1}^{\mathcal{R}}, \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

which represents the same states as those found earlier (3.24). These states are invariant under the orbifold projection, $\frac{1}{2}(1+\sigma)\left|F ; \theta, \tilde{y}_{0}\right\rangle=\left|F ; \theta, \tilde{y}_{0}\right\rangle$. The Neumann states of the base

[^6]circle in the twisted sector $\left|N\left(\tilde{y}_{0}\right)\right\rangle_{2 R}^{\mathcal{T}}=\left|N\left(\tilde{y}_{0}, \alpha=1\right)\right\rangle^{\text {base }}$ (see (3.19)) are characterized by (with the same normalisation as $\left|N\left(\tilde{y}_{0}\right)\right\rangle_{2 R}=\left|N\left(\tilde{y}_{0}, \alpha=0\right)\right\rangle^{\text {base }}$ in the untwisted sector)
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{2 R}^{\mathcal{T}}\left\langle N\left(\tilde{y}_{0}\right)\right| e^{-\pi s H^{(c)}}\left|N\left(\tilde{y}_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle_{2 R}^{\mathcal{T}} & =\frac{2 R}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\eta(i s)} \sum_{w \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-2 \pi s \frac{1}{4}\left\{2 R\left(w+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right\}^{2}} e^{-i 2 R\left(w+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\Delta \tilde{y}_{0}\right)} \\
& =\frac{1}{\eta(i t)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{n} e^{-2 \pi t\left(\frac{n}{2 R}+\frac{\Delta \tilde{y}_{0}}{2 \pi}\right)^{2}} \tag{3.35}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

Let us evaluate the overlaps involving the fractional states. We first consider the overlaps with the bulk brane states. Clearly, only the untwisted sector contributes to the amplitudes, and we may simply replace $\kappa$ with $e^{i \frac{\pi}{2} J_{0}^{2}}$. We can then utilize the $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{1}$ technique demonstrated in appendix D. Making use of (D.4) we find $\left(\Delta \theta \equiv \theta-\theta^{\prime}, \Delta \tilde{y}_{0} \equiv \tilde{y}_{0}-\tilde{y}_{0}^{\prime}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle D(\theta) D\left(y_{0}\right)\right| e^{-\pi s H^{(c)}}\left|F ; \theta^{\prime}, \tilde{y}_{0}^{\prime}\right\rangle=Z^{D N}(i t) \frac{1}{\eta(i t)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-2 \pi t\left\{n+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \alpha(\Delta \theta)\right\}^{2}}, \\
& \left\langle D(\theta) N\left(\tilde{y}_{0}\right)\right| e^{-\pi s H^{(c)}}\left|F ; \theta^{\prime}, \tilde{y}_{0}^{\prime}\right\rangle=Z_{2 R}^{N N}\left(i t ; \Delta \tilde{y}_{0}\right) \frac{1}{\eta(i t)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-2 \pi t\left\{n+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \alpha(\Delta \theta)\right\}^{2}}, \tag{3.36}
\end{align*}
$$

where we introduced the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(z)=\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{\cos z}{\sqrt{2}}\right) . \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

In computing the overlaps between the fractional branes one can evaluate the untwisted and twisted pieces separately. In the untwisted sector we find,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left\langle F ; \theta, \tilde{y}_{0}\right| e^{-\pi s H^{(c)}}\left|F ; \theta^{\prime}, \tilde{y}_{0}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right|_{\text {untwisted }}=\frac{1}{2} Z_{2 R}^{N N}\left(i t ; \Delta \tilde{y}_{0}\right) Z_{1}^{N N}(i t ; \Delta \theta), \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in the twisted sector,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left\langle F ; \theta, \tilde{y}_{0}\right| e^{-\pi s H^{(c)}}\left|F ; \theta^{\prime}, \tilde{y}_{0}^{\prime}\right\rangle\right|_{\text {twisted }}=\frac{1}{2 \eta(i t)^{2}} \sum_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{m+n} e^{-2 \pi t\left[\left(\frac{m}{2 R}+\frac{\Delta \tilde{y}_{0}}{2 \pi}\right)^{2}+\left(n+\frac{\Delta \theta}{2 \pi}\right)^{2}\right]} . \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total fractional-fractional overlap is then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle F ; \theta, \tilde{y}_{0}\right| e^{-\pi s H^{(c)}}\left|F ; \theta^{\prime}, \tilde{y}_{0}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\eta(i t)^{2}} \sum_{\substack{m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ m-n \in 2 \mathbb{Z}}} e^{-2 \pi t\left[\left(\frac{m}{2 R}+\frac{\Delta \tilde{y}_{0}}{2 \pi}\right)^{2}+\left(n+\frac{\Delta \theta}{2 \pi}\right)^{2}\right]} . \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

The amplitudes (3.36) and (3.40) display $q$-expansions ( $q \equiv e^{-2 \pi t}$ ) with non-negative integer multiplicities in the open string channel, and hence the Cardy conditions are satisfied. When the boundary conditions on the two boundaries are same the amplitude (3.40) contains the Virasoro vacuum character with multiplicity one, indicating that the boundary states (3.34) represent elementary fractional branes.

### 3.4 Some comments on the branes

We conclude this section with comments on the D-branes we have found.

1. The bulk branes allow obvious geometrical interpretations. The branes localized on the base circle (Dirichlet b.c. along the base) are interpretable as an alternating array of D0 and D1 branes along the fiber if lifted up to the universal cover of the base circle. Also, a brane wrapped on the base (Neumann b.c. along the base) is nothing but a superposition of D0 and D1 branes along the fiber which are T-dual to each other. These branes should be consistent with those given by the classical analysis based on the doubled torus approach [17. This is obvious for the branes localized on base. It is also inferred by arguments in [17] that the consistent branes wrapped on the base must have even winding numbers. This in fact agrees with our analysis as the bulk boundary states with Neumann b.c. along the base (the $D N$ and $N N$ states in (3.8)) are identified with branes wrapped twice on the base; those wrapped only once cannot exist consistently as a geometric object in the doubled torus.
2. The fractional branes on the other hand are more curious as they do not have a simple geometrical interpretation. One can for example read from the cylinder amplitudes (3.36) that the lightest mass of an open string between a fractional and a bulk brane is a non-linear function of the moduli of the branes (location or Wilson line along the fiber), $\propto\left[\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{\cos z}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\right]$, with $z$ the modulus. This feature appears to be rather exotic compared to the standard D-brane dynamics on geometric backgrounds. We point out that the physics of T-fold may be distinguished by this characteristic feature from a geometric background (i.e. a non-linear $\sigma$-model), even at energy scales much lower than the string scale. This is due to the non-linear behavior mentioned above already appearing in the no-winding sector of the base circle. On the other hand, if looking at the closed string sector, non-geometric properties of T-fold originate only from strings wound (odd times) around the base circle, which are expected to decouple from the low energy physics. For this reason D-brane dynamics would be important in investigating physics of T-folds.

Let us be more specific about what we actually mean by 'geometric' or 'nongeometric.' We classify the boundary conditions defining D-branes into two classes:
(i) 'geometric branes,' corresponding to linear gluing conditions with respect to the $\sigma$-model coordinates $X, Y$, and
(ii) 'non-geometric branes,' defined by non-linear gluing conditions. ${ }^{10}$

Geometric branes in this sense have obvious interpretations in terms of non-linear $\sigma$-models with boundaries, whereas non-geometric branes are not. Geometric branes

[^7]are of primary importance as objects in the classical geometry defined in the particle theory limit. The bulk branes considered above are actually geometric in this sense. On the other hand, in the reflection orbifold $S_{R^{\prime}=1}^{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2} \cong\left(\mathcal{A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{L} \otimes\left(\mathcal{A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{R}$, the fractional brane (3.31) has one modulus parameter $\theta$, and there exist eight geometric points corresponding to linear boundary conditions in the moduli space: $\theta=n \pi$ (Neumann), $\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}+n \pi$ (Dirichlet) with $n=0,1,2,3$ (see also appendix B.) In contrast, our fractional branes in the T-fold are entirely non-geometric since the boundary condition is always non-linear in the moduli space.

We emphasise that, if comparing the T-fold with the symmetric orbifold (reflection orbifold), the spectra of Cardy states with respect to Virasoro algebra should be identical, since the torus partition functions coincide and thus they have isomorphic Hilbert spaces of closed string states. What we address here is that they nevertheless have inequivalent spectra of geometric branes. The geometric bulk branes in the T-fold we constructed above are mapped by the isomorphism to some non-geometric branes in the reflection orbifold, and vice versa. Moreover, as is obvious from our construction, the fractional branes in the T-fold are mapped to those in the reflection orbifold by the isomorphism; the latter are well-defined geometrical objects localized at the fixed points of the orbifold (and their marginal boundary deformations), whereas the former are entirely non-geometric, as addressed above.

It is not clear to us at the moment how the fractional branes may be understood in the framework of the doubled torus. This is obviously an interesting issue. It might be of some help to consider the model as a special case of the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ WZW model (see section 5).
3. An important set of information encoded in the boundary states is the ground state degeneracy (Affleck-Ludwig $g$-factor) [37]. It is defined as the overlap of a boundary state with the Möbius -invariant untwisted closed string vacuum,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{B}=\langle(n=0, w=0) \mid B\rangle, \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the phase convention of the states are chosen so that $g_{B} \geq 0$. The $g$-factor is a conformal fixed point value of the $g$-function that decreases along boundary renormalisation group flows (analogous to the celebrated $c$-theorem in the bulk). For $c=1 \mathrm{CFT}$ on $S^{1}$ of radius $R$, the $g$-factors of the Dirichlet and Neumann states are

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{D}(R)=\frac{1}{2^{1 / 4} \sqrt{R}}, \quad g_{N}(R)=\frac{\sqrt{R}}{2^{1 / 4}} . \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

When CFT under study appears as an internal space of string compactification (such as in our case), the $g$-factor measures the mass (or stability) of the brane [38]. The rationale behind this is that the mass of a brane is actually measured by its interaction with gravitons. The scattering amplitude is computed from the two-point function of
graviton vertices on the disk topology, which reduces through bulk operator product expansions to (a sum of) one point functions on the disk,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\mu \nu}=\left\langle\vec{k}_{L}, \vec{k}_{R}\right| a_{1}^{\mu} \vec{a}_{1}^{\nu}|B\rangle, \quad \mu, \nu=0, \ldots, D-1 \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $D$ is the spacetime dimensions). Its symmetric traceless part yields the metric, the antisymmetric traceless part the Kalb-Ramond 2-form field, and the trace part the dilaton upon Fourier transformation [39]. The $A^{\mu \nu}$ factorises into a noncompact spacetime part and a compact internal part. From the noncompact spacetime viewpoint the $g$-factor from the internal CFT appears universally as a coefficient of the graviton amplitude and contributes to the coupling strength of the graviton to the brane. The $g$-factor of D-branes in the T-fold is immediately read off from the boundary states. They are

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\text {bulk }}^{D^{\text {fibre }} D^{\text {base }}}(R)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 R}}, \quad g_{\text {bulk }}^{D^{\text {fibre }} N^{\text {bulk }}}(R)=\sqrt{2 R}, \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the bulk brane states and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\mathrm{frac}}(R)=\sqrt{\frac{R}{2}} \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the fractional states. As $g_{\text {bulk }}^{D D} \ll g_{\text {frac }}<g_{\text {bulk }}^{D N}$ when $R \gg 1$ and $g_{\text {frac }}<g_{\text {bulk }}^{D N} \ll$ $g_{\text {bulk }}^{D D}$ when $R \ll 1$, we find from the above reasoning that the bulk branes with the Dirichlet base are most stable in the former case, whereas in the latter the fractional branes are most stable.
4. It is also easy to construct boundary states in the T-dualized T-fold (2.33). All we have to do is to exchange the Neumann and Dirichlet boundary states in the base part in (3.3), (3.8), (3.34) etc. Especially, only the Dirichlet b.c. along the base direction is possible for the fractional branes, since the 'double cover' operator $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}_{2 \pi \frac{1}{R}}$ leaves the Dirichlet b.c. invariant, while for the Neumann b.c. it does not.

## 4. World-sheet fermions

Our discussion so far has been limited to the bosonic theory. We now consider a simple $\mathcal{N}=1$ extension of the $S^{1}$ over $S^{1} \mathrm{~T}$-fold that we have discussed in the previous sections. In addition to the fibre and base bosons $X$ and $Y$, we introduce the fibre and base fermions which we shall denote $\psi^{X}$ and $\psi^{Y}$. Under the usual T-duality the fibre fields undergo transformations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(X_{L}, X_{R}\right) \rightarrow\left(X_{L},-X_{R}\right), \quad\left(\psi_{L}^{X}, \psi_{R}^{X}\right) \rightarrow\left(\psi_{L}^{X},-\psi_{R}^{X}\right) . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

As it turns out, construction of a modular invariant partition function (while keeping the natural order 2 orbifold structure) is not entirely automatic. Below we describe a model of $\mathcal{N}=1 \mathrm{~T}$-fold that is an asymmetric orbifold of order 2 ; this is based on an observation
that at a special radius of the fibre there exists a global $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ symmetry which is similar to the one we encountered in the bosonic case.

We choose the fibre radius to be the free fermion radius $R=\sqrt{2}$ (the $\mathrm{SO}(2)$-point). This allows one to fermionise the fibre boson $X=X_{L}+X_{R}$ according to the rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\psi_{L}^{1} \pm i \psi_{L}^{2}\right)=e^{ \pm i X_{L} \sqrt{2}} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and likewise for the right mover. Identifying the fermionic component as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{L}^{3}=\psi_{L}^{X}, \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

the fibre is represented by a system of three fermions, which is known to possess an $\mathrm{SO}(3)_{1} \cong \mathrm{SU}(2)_{2}$ current algebra symmetry. Indeed, the affine $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ currents at level 2 are explicitly constructed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{a}=-i \epsilon^{a b c} \psi_{L}^{b} \psi_{L}^{c}, \quad \bar{J}^{a}=-i \epsilon^{a b c} \psi_{R}^{b} \psi_{R}^{c}, \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon^{a b c}$ being totally antisymmetric and $\epsilon^{123}=+1$.
We start with the diagonal spin structures and make an orbifolding
where $F_{L}^{S}$ is the space-time fermion number associated with the left mover. Modding out by $\mathcal{T}_{2 \pi \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} \otimes(-1)^{F_{L}^{S}}$ makes the NS-NS (R-R) sector to have even (odd) KK momenta. After incorporating suitable twisted sectors, this aligns the spin structures of the three fermions. We then obtain the diagonal modular invariant of $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{2} \mathrm{WZW}:^{11}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(\tau, \bar{\tau})=\sum_{\ell=0,1,2}\left|\chi_{\ell}^{(2)}(\tau)\right|^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left|\frac{\theta_{2}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}\right|^{3}+\left|\frac{\theta_{3}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}\right|^{3}+\left|\frac{\theta_{4}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}\right|^{3}\right) . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the bosonic case, we define the T-fold as an orbifold generated by a group of order 2 , namely the half-shift of the base combined with improved T-duality transformation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\prime \prime}: \quad X_{L} \rightarrow X_{L}+\pi \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad X_{R} \rightarrow-X_{R}, \quad \psi_{L}^{X} \rightarrow \psi_{L}^{X}, \quad \psi_{R}^{X} \rightarrow-\psi_{R}^{X}, \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which acts on the $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{2}$ currents as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(J^{1}, J^{2}, J^{3}\right) \rightarrow\left(-J^{1},-J^{2}, J^{3}\right), \quad\left(\bar{J}^{1}, \bar{J}^{2}, \bar{J}^{3}\right) \rightarrow\left(\bar{J}^{1},-\bar{J}^{2},-\bar{J}^{3}\right) . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transformation (4.7) is again identified with asymmetric chiral rotation

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\prime \prime}=\left(e^{i \pi J_{0}^{3}}, e^{i \pi \bar{J}_{0}^{1}}\right) . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^8]It is then straightforward to proceed as in the bosonic case to find the closed and open string spectra. Instead of investigating this particular model, we shall in the next section explore a more general class of T-fold models with $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ fibre at arbitrary level, which includes the $\mathcal{N}=1 \mathrm{~T}$-fold as a special case at level 2 .

Finally, we remark on application of the $\mathcal{N}=1 \mathrm{~T}$-fold to models of superstring vacua. For such purposes we need to generalise the fibre of the T-fold to a torus of even dimensions so that the chirality of the space-time fermions is unchanged under the T-duality action. A complication is that we need to carefully take account of the spin structures of the world-sheet fermions and the GSO condition, leading us to consider truly asymmetric modular invariants. This is certainly a very interesting subject and related work appeared in [18, [19, 16]. We hope to report on progresses in a separate publication.

## 5. Extension to $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}$ fibre

In the above examples the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ structure was essential for obtaining the modular invariant one-loop partition functions and also for the existence of consistent boundary states. As the bosonic and $\mathcal{N}=1$ supersymmetric T-folds correspond to $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}$ fibre with $k=1$ and $k=2$, it is natural to extend them to $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}$ fibre of arbitrary level $k$. In this section we discuss such an extension.

## 5.1 $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}$ WZW T-fold

The T-fold we shall consider consists of the fibre of $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}$ WZW model and the base which is a circle of radius $R$. This is formulated as an orbifold

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k} \times S_{2 R}^{1}\right] / \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ orbifold action $\sigma \equiv\left(e^{i \pi J_{0}^{3}}, e^{i \pi \bar{J}_{0}^{1}}\right) \otimes \mathcal{T}_{2 \pi R}$. We shall be interested in the case where the fibre $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k} \mathrm{CFT}$ is diagonal. As before, $\mathcal{T}_{2 \pi R}$ is the translation along the covering space of the base circle $\mathcal{T}_{2 \pi R}: Y \rightarrow Y+2 \pi R$, and the $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}$ currents are $J^{a}$ and $\bar{J}^{a}$, with $a=$ $1,2,3$. Twisting by $e^{i \pi J_{0}^{3}}$ or $e^{i \pi \bar{J}_{0}^{1}}$ generates a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-orbifold of the chiral WZW model. The one-loop partition function of the T-fold is obtained from those of the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ WZW orbifolds and the base part, suitably combined in accordance with the T-invariant projection:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{\mathrm{SU}(2) \text { T-fold }}(\tau, \bar{\tau})=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}} Z_{[\alpha, \beta]}^{\text {base }}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} \chi_{\ell,[\alpha, \beta]}^{(k)}(\tau) \overline{\chi_{\ell,[\alpha, \beta]}^{(k)}(\tau)} . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The definition and related formulas of the twisted $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ characters $\chi_{\ell,[\alpha, \beta]}^{(k)}(\tau)$ are summarized in appendix $\mathbb{Q}$. The modular invariant is again left-right symmetric, because the $e^{i \pi J_{0}^{3} \text {-twist (on the left-mover) and the } e^{i \pi J_{0}^{1}} \text {-twist (the right-mover) result in the same }}$ character functions $\chi_{\ell,[\alpha, \beta]}^{(k)}$.

To clarify the modular properties of the partition function (5.2) it is more convenient to use another notation of twisted characters $\widehat{\chi}_{\ell,(a, b)}^{(k)}(\tau)$, defined in (C.9). These differ from
$\chi_{\ell,[\alpha, \beta]}^{(k)}(\tau)$ only by phase normalisation and are covariant under modular transformations (see (C.6) and (C.7)). One may then rewrite the partition function as

$$
\begin{align*}
Z^{\mathrm{SU}(2) \mathrm{T}-\text { fold }}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}} Z_{[\alpha, \beta]}^{\text {base }}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} \widehat{\chi}_{\ell,(\alpha / 2, \beta / 2)}^{(k)}(\tau) \overline{\widehat{\chi}_{\ell,(\alpha / 2, \beta / 2)}^{(k)}(\tau)} \\
& =\sum_{w, m \in \mathbb{Z}} Z_{R,(w, m)}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} \widehat{\chi}_{\ell,(w / 2, m / 2)}^{(k)}(\tau) \overline{\widehat{\chi}_{\ell,(w / 2, m / 2)}^{(k)}(\tau)}, \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Z_{R,(w, m)}(\tau, \bar{\tau})$ is defined in (2.29). This is manifestly modular invariant, since each piece behaves covariantly under modular transformations.

We incidentally remark that if merely the modular invariance is concerned, another (entirely asymmetric) modular invariant is possible:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{\prime}(\tau, \bar{\tau})=\sum_{w, m \in \mathbb{Z}} Z_{R,(w, m)}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} \chi_{\ell}^{(k)}(\tau) \overline{\widehat{\chi}_{\ell,(w / 2, m / 2)}^{(k)}(\tau)} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since this is generated by an asymmetric action $\mathcal{T}_{2 \pi R} \otimes\left(\mathbf{1}, e^{i \pi \bar{J}_{0}^{1}}\right)$ that does not contain
 duality ( $T$, without the $X_{L}$-translation nor the phase shift $e^{i \pi \hat{n} \hat{w}}$ ). While modular invariant by construction, whether this model has any relevance as a physically acceptable string vacuum is not immediately clear to us. There is level mismatch in the twisted sectors in general, and the model is not an orbifold of order 2. The order of the orbifold group is $N \equiv$ L.C.M $\left\{N^{\prime}, 2\right\}$, where $N^{\prime}$ is the smallest positive integer such that $e^{2 \pi i \frac{N^{\prime} k}{16}}=1$. In the level $k=1$ case (a bosonic T-fold of $S^{1}$-fiber), for instance, this construction gives rise to an asymmetric modular invariant of an order 16 orbifold. Similarly, $k=2$ (an $\mathcal{N}=1$ T-fold of $S^{1}$-fiber) leads to an order 8 asymmetric orbifold. In those cases, unfortunately, there arises a problem of locality of vertex operators. Below in this section we shall focus on the model given by (5.2).

### 5.2 Bulk branes in the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ T-fold

Let us consider $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}$ generalisation of the bulk branes discussed in section 3.2. We shall first focus on the familiar Cardy states 43] defined by $(L=0,1, \ldots, k)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.|L\rangle_{C} \equiv \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} \frac{S_{L, \ell}^{(k)}}{\sqrt{S_{0, \ell}^{(k)}}}|\ell\rangle\right\rangle, \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{\ell, \ell^{\prime}}^{(k)} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2}{k+2}} \sin \left(\pi \frac{(\ell+1)\left(\ell^{\prime}+1\right)}{k+2}\right)$ is the modular S-matrix of $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}$, and the Ishibashi states 36] | $\rangle\rangle\rangle$ are characterized by

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\left(J_{n}^{a}+\bar{J}_{-n}^{a}\right)|\ell\rangle\right\rangle & =0, \quad\left({ }^{\forall} n,{ }^{\forall} a\right),  \tag{5.6}\\
\left.\left\langle\langle\ell| e^{-\pi s H^{c}} e^{2 \pi i z J_{0}^{3}} \mid \ell^{\prime}\right\rangle\right\rangle & =\delta_{\ell, \ell^{\prime}} \chi_{\ell}^{(k)}(z \mid i s) \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

In this expression $\chi_{\ell}^{(k)}(z \mid i s)$ is the $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}$ character of $\operatorname{spin} \ell / 2(\overline{\text { C.1 }})$, and $H^{c} \equiv L_{0}+\bar{L}_{0}-\frac{c_{k}}{12}$ is the closed string Hamiltonian. It is well-known that these 'maximally symmetric' boundary states $|L\rangle_{C}$ describe D-branes wrapped on the conjugacy classes of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$, interpreted as $(k-1)$ spherical D2 branes (for $L=1, \ldots, k-1)^{12}$ and two D0 particles at the poles of $S^{3}(L=0, k) 44$.

We also introduce 'T-dualized' boundary states associated to $T^{\prime \prime} \equiv\left(e^{i \pi J_{0}^{3}}, e^{i \pi \bar{J}_{0}^{1}}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\widehat{L D}_{C} & \equiv T^{\prime \prime}|L\rangle_{C} \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{k} \frac{S_{L, \ell}^{(k)}}{\sqrt{S_{0, \ell}^{(k)}}} \widehat{|\ell\rangle\rangle},
$$

which satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(J_{n}^{3}-\bar{J}_{-n}^{3}\right)\left|\widehat{L\rangle}_{C}=0, \quad\left(J_{n}^{ \pm}-\bar{J}_{-n}^{\mp}\right)\right| \widehat{L\rangle}_{C}=0 \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(note that $e^{i \pi J_{0}^{3}} e^{-i \pi J_{0}^{1}}=e^{i \pi J_{0}^{2}}$ ).
Using the overlaps (5.7) and the Verlinde formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{S_{L_{1}, \ell}^{(k)} S_{L_{2}, \ell}^{(k)}}{S_{0, \ell}^{(k)}}=\sum_{L=0}^{k} N_{L_{1}, L_{2}}^{L} S_{L, \ell}^{(k)} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{L_{1}, L_{2}}^{L}$ denotes the fusion coefficients of $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}$, it is easy to evaluate the cylinder amplitudes as

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{C}\left\langle L_{1}\right| e^{-\pi s H^{c}}\left|L_{2}\right\rangle_{C}={ }_{C} \widehat{\left\langle L_{1}\right|} e^{-\pi s H^{c}} \mid \widehat{\left.L_{2}\right\rangle_{C}}=\sum_{L=0}^{k} N_{L_{1}, L_{2}}^{L} \chi_{L}^{(k)}(0 \mid i t) \equiv Z_{\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}}^{L_{1}, L_{2}}(i t) . \\
& { }_{C}\left\langle L_{1}\right| e^{-\pi s H^{c}}\left|\widehat{\left.L_{2}\right\rangle_{C}}={ }_{C} \widehat{\left\langle L_{1}\right|}\right| e^{-\pi s H^{c}}\left|L_{2}\right\rangle_{C}=\sum_{L=0}^{k} N_{L_{1}, L_{2}}^{L} \chi_{L,[1,0]}^{(k)}(0 \mid i t) \equiv \widehat{Z}_{\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}}^{L_{1}, L_{2}}(i t) . \tag{5.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $t \equiv 1 / s$ is the open string modulus of the cylinder. $\chi_{L,[1,0]}^{k}(i t)$ are the twisted $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}$ characters given in (C.5)).

Now, the bulk branes are constructed similarly to (3.3), (3.8),

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\left|L, D\left(y_{0}\right)\right\rangle & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+\sigma)|L\rangle_{C} \otimes\left|D\left(y_{0}\right)\right\rangle_{2 R} \\
& \left.\equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|L\rangle_{C} \otimes\left|D\left(y_{0}\right)\right\rangle_{2 R}+\left|\widehat{L}_{C} \otimes\right| D\left(y_{0}+2 \pi R\right)\right\rangle_{2 R}\right) \\
\left|L, N\left(\tilde{y}_{0}\right)\right\rangle & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+\sigma)|L\rangle_{C} \otimes\left|N\left(\tilde{y}_{0}\right)\right\rangle_{2 R} \\
& \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|L\rangle_{C}+\mid \widehat{L\rangle}\right.  \tag{5.14}\\
C
\end{array}\right) \otimes\left|N\left(\tilde{y}_{0}\right)\right\rangle_{2 R},
$$

[^9]where $\sigma \equiv T^{\prime \prime} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{2 \pi R}$. The overlaps between the bulk branes are computed as
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle L, D\left(y_{0}\right)\right| e^{-\pi s H^{c}}\left|L^{\prime}, D\left(y_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=Z_{\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}}^{L, L^{\prime}}(i t) Z_{2 R}^{D D}\left(i t ; \Delta y_{0}\right)+\widehat{Z}_{\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}}^{L, L^{\prime}}(i t) Z_{2 R}^{D D}\left(i t ; \Delta y_{0}+2 \pi R\right), \\
& \left\langle L, N\left(\tilde{y}_{0}\right)\right| e^{-\pi s H^{c}}\left|L^{\prime}, N\left(\tilde{y}_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=\left(Z_{\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}}^{L, L^{\prime}}(i t)+\widehat{Z}_{\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}}^{L, L^{\prime}}(i t)\right) Z_{2 R}^{N N}\left(i t ; \Delta \tilde{y}_{0}\right), \\
& \left\langle L, D\left(y_{0}\right)\right| e^{-\pi s H^{c}}\left|L^{\prime}, N\left(\tilde{y}_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=\left(Z_{\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}}^{L, L^{\prime}}(i t)+\widehat{Z}_{\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}}^{L L^{\prime}}(i t)\right) Z^{D N}(i t) . \tag{5.15}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

Again these have obvious geometrical interpretation on the universal cover of the base $S^{1}$.
This construction may be generalised to include marginal boundary deformation by an arbitrary $\mathrm{SU}(2)$-rotation on the fibre. Such deformation is taken into account by replacing the Cardy states $|L\rangle_{C}$ along the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$-fiber with the deformed Cardy states,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|L, \omega\rangle_{C} \equiv \bar{R}(\omega)|L\rangle_{C}\left(\equiv R\left(\omega^{-1}\right)|L\rangle_{C}\right), \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the rotations are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(\omega) \equiv \exp \sum_{a} i \theta_{a} J_{0}^{a}, \quad \bar{R}(\omega) \equiv \exp \sum_{a} i \theta_{a} \bar{J}_{0}^{a}, \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with ${ }^{\forall} \omega \equiv \exp \sum_{a} i \theta_{a} \frac{\sigma_{a}}{2} \in \operatorname{SU}(2)$ ( $\sigma_{a}$ are the Pauli matrices). This type of boundary states is characterized by twisted gluing conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(J_{n}^{a}+\operatorname{Ad}(\omega)_{b a} \bar{J}_{-n}^{b}\right)|L, \omega\rangle_{C}=0 . \quad\left({ }^{\forall} a, \quad{ }^{\forall} n\right) . \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the bulk branes are,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|(L, \omega), D\left(y_{0}\right)\right\rangle^{\text {bulk }}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+\sigma)|L, \omega\rangle_{C} \otimes\left|D\left(y_{0}\right)\right\rangle_{2 R}, \quad \text { etc. } \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the overlaps are calculable by means of the diagonalization technique described in appendix D. We find, for instance,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle(L, \omega), D\left(y_{0}\right)\right| e^{-\pi s H^{c}}\left|\left(L^{\prime}, \omega^{\prime}\right), D\left(y_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=Z_{\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}}^{L, L^{\prime}}\left(i t ; \xi\left(\omega \omega^{\prime-1}\right)\right) Z_{2 R}^{D D}\left(i t ; \Delta y_{0}\right) \\
& +Z_{\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}}^{L, L^{\prime}}\left(i t ; \xi\left(\omega e^{\frac{i \pi}{2} \sigma_{3}} \omega^{\prime-1} e^{-\frac{i \pi}{2} \sigma_{1}}\right)\right) Z_{2 R}^{D D}\left(i t ; \Delta y_{0}+2 \pi R\right), \tag{5.20}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}}^{L_{1} L_{2}}(i t ; z) \equiv \sum_{L} N_{L_{1}, L_{2}}^{L} \chi_{L}^{(k)}(i t z \mid i t) e^{-\frac{\pi k}{2} t z^{2}}, \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\xi(\omega) \in[0,1](\omega \in \operatorname{SU}(2))$ is defined by diagonalization

$$
\begin{equation*}
U e^{2 \pi i \xi(\omega) \frac{\sigma_{3}}{2}} U^{-1}=\omega, \quad \text { with some } U \in \mathrm{SU}(2) . \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the particular case of $\omega=e^{i \theta \sigma_{3}}, \omega^{\prime}=e^{i \theta^{\prime} \sigma_{3}}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle(L, \theta), D\left(y_{0}\right)\right| e^{-\pi s H^{c}\left|\left(L^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime}\right), D\left(y_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle}  \tag{5.23}\\
& \quad=Z_{\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}}^{L, L^{\prime}}\left(i t ; \frac{\theta-\theta^{\prime}}{\pi}\right) Z_{2 R}^{D D}\left(i t ; \Delta y_{0}\right)+\widehat{Z}_{\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}}^{L, L^{\prime}}(i t) Z_{2 R}^{D D}\left(i t ; \Delta y_{0}+2 \pi R\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Other overlaps are evaluated in the same way.

### 5.3 Fractional branes in the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ T-fold

The fractional branes (3.34) may also be generalised to $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}$ fibre at arbitrary level. Their boundary states are found to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F ;(L, \theta), \tilde{y}_{0}, \eta= \pm 1\right\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{2 i \theta J_{0}^{3}} \kappa|L\rangle_{C} \otimes\left|N\left(\tilde{y}_{0}\right)\right\rangle_{2 R}+\eta \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{2 i \theta J_{0}^{3}} \kappa|L\rangle_{C}^{\mathcal{R}} \otimes\left|N\left(\tilde{y}_{0}\right)\right\rangle_{2 R}^{\mathcal{T}} \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\kappa$ is the same automorphism (3.26) as before but now for $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k} .|L\rangle_{C}$ are the $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}$ Cardy states (5.5) and $|L\rangle_{C}^{\mathcal{R}}$ are their twisted counterparts, defined explicitly as

$$
\begin{align*}
|L\rangle_{C}^{\mathcal{R}} & \left.\equiv \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} \frac{e^{\frac{i \pi}{2} L} S_{L, \ell}^{(k)}}{\sqrt{S_{0, \ell}^{(k)}}}|\ell\rangle\right\rangle^{\mathcal{R}}, & &  \tag{5.25}\\
\left.\left(J_{n}^{1}+\bar{J}_{-n}^{1}\right)|\ell\rangle\right\rangle^{\mathcal{R}} & =0, & & \left({ }^{\forall} n \in \mathbb{Z}\right), \\
\left.\left(J_{r}^{a}+\bar{J}_{-r}^{a}\right)|\ell\rangle\right\rangle^{\mathcal{R}} & =0, & & \left({ }^{\forall} r \in \frac{1}{2}+\mathbb{Z}, \quad a=2,3\right), \\
\left.{ }^{\mathcal{R}}\left\langle\langle\ell| e^{-\pi s H^{(c)}} e^{2 \pi i z J_{0}^{1}} \mid \ell^{\prime}\right\rangle\right\rangle^{\mathcal{R}} & =\delta_{\ell, \ell^{\prime}} \chi_{\ell,[1,0]}^{(k)}(z \mid i s) . & &
\end{align*}
$$

The necessity of the slightly non-trivial phase factor $e^{i \frac{\pi}{2} L}$ will be clarified below. The states in the base part $|N(\tilde{y})\rangle_{2 R},|N(\tilde{y})\rangle_{2 R}^{\mathcal{T}}$ are exactly same as before. The construction and analysis of the fractional states heavily rely on various properties of the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-twisted $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}$ characters $\chi_{\ell,[\alpha, \beta]}^{(k)}(z \mid \tau)\left(\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$. See appendix $\mathbb{C}$ for their definitions and properties. The periodicity of the continuous marginal deformation parameter $\theta$ is summarized as follows:
(i) $k$ : even

The periodicity of $\theta$ is $2 \pi$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F ;(L, \theta+2 \pi), \tilde{y}_{0}, \eta\right\rangle=\left|F ;(L, \theta), \tilde{y}_{0}, \eta\right\rangle \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we must treat $\left|F ;(L, \theta), \tilde{y}_{0},+\right\rangle$ and $\left|F ;(L, \theta), \tilde{y}_{0},-\right\rangle$ independently. We also note

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F ;(L, \theta+\pi), \tilde{y}_{0}, \eta\right\rangle=\left|F ;(k-L, \theta), \tilde{y}_{0},(-1)^{L} \eta\right\rangle \tag{5.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) $k$ : odd

The periodicity of $\theta$ is $4 \pi$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F ;(L, \theta+4 \pi), \tilde{y}_{0}, \eta\right\rangle=\left|F ;(L, \theta), \tilde{y}_{0}, \eta\right\rangle \tag{5.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left|F ;(L, \theta), \tilde{y}_{0},+\right\rangle$ and $\left|F ;(L, \theta), \tilde{y}_{0},-\right\rangle$ are related as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F ;(L, \theta+2 \pi), \tilde{y}_{0}, \eta\right\rangle=\left|F ;(L, \theta), \tilde{y}_{0},-\eta\right\rangle . \tag{5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

We again obtain the same relation as (5.28) when shifting $\theta \rightarrow \theta+\pi$.

Computation of the cylinder amplitudes is carried out in the same way as in the $S^{1}$-fiber T-fold. With the help of modular transformation formulas of the twisted characters (C.14), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle F ;\left(L_{1}, \theta\right), \tilde{y}_{0}, \eta\right| e^{-\pi s H^{(c)}}\left|F ;\left(L_{2}, \theta^{\prime}\right), \tilde{y}_{0}^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right\rangle  \tag{5.31}\\
& \begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\eta(i t)} \sum_{n \in 2 \mathbb{Z}} e^{-2 \pi t\left(\frac{n}{2 R}+\frac{\Delta \tilde{y}_{0}}{2 \pi}\right)^{2}} \sum_{L} N_{L_{1}, L_{2}}^{L} \chi_{L}^{(k)}\left(\left.i t \frac{\Delta \theta}{\pi} \right\rvert\, i t\right) e^{-\frac{k t}{2 \pi}(\Delta \theta)^{2}} \\
& \quad+\frac{\eta \eta^{\prime}}{\eta(i t)} \sum_{n \in 2 \mathbb{Z}+1} e^{-2 \pi t\left(\frac{n}{2 R}+\frac{\Delta \tilde{y}_{0}}{2 \pi}\right)^{2}} \sum_{L} N_{L_{1}, L_{2}}^{L} e^{-i \frac{\pi}{2}\left(L_{1}-L_{2}+L\right)} \chi_{L,[0,1]}^{(k)}\left(\left.i t \frac{\Delta \theta}{\pi} \right\rvert\, i t\right) e^{-\frac{k t}{2 \pi}(\Delta \theta)^{2}} \\
& \begin{aligned}
\left\langle\left(L_{1}, \theta\right), N\left(\tilde{y}_{0}\right)\right| e^{-\pi s H^{(c)}} \mid F ; & \left.\left(L_{2}, \theta^{\prime}\right), \tilde{y}_{0}^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
& =Z_{2 R}^{N N}\left(i t ; \Delta \tilde{y}_{0}\right) \sum_{L} N_{L_{1}, L_{2}}^{L} \chi_{L}^{(k)}\left(\left.i t \frac{\alpha(\Delta \theta)}{\pi} \right\rvert\, i t\right) e^{-\frac{k t}{2 \pi} \alpha(\Delta \theta)^{2}} \\
& =Z^{D N}(i t) \sum_{L} N_{L_{1}, L_{2}}^{L} \chi_{L}^{(k)}\left(\left.i t \frac{\alpha(\Delta \theta)}{\pi} \right\rvert\, i t\right) e^{-\frac{k t}{2 \pi} \alpha(\Delta \theta)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned} .
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha(\theta) \equiv \cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{\cos \theta}{\sqrt{2}}\right), \Delta \theta \equiv \theta-\theta^{\prime}$ and $\Delta \tilde{y}_{0} \equiv \tilde{y}_{0}-\tilde{y}_{0}^{\prime}$ as before. We would like to conclude this section with several comments on these branes.

1. A non-trivial point is the inclusion of the phase factor $e^{i \frac{\pi}{2} L}$ in (5.25). This factor is indeed necessary for an appropriate $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-projection in the open string channel. Without this factor, the open channel amplitude would be twisted by $e^{i \pi J_{0}^{2}}$ which is not involutive: $\left(e^{i \pi J_{0}^{2}}\right)^{2}=e^{2 \pi i J_{0}^{2}} \neq \mathbf{1}$. See also appendix C. We also note that $e^{i \frac{\pi}{2}\left(L_{1}-L_{2}+L\right)}= \pm 1$, because $L_{1}-L_{2}+L \in 2 \mathbb{Z}$ when $N_{L_{1}, L_{2}}^{L} \neq 0$. Therefore, (5.31) is correctly $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-projected and the Cardy condition is satisfied among the boundary states we defined.
2. An alternative way to construct the boundary states of the fractional branes is to focus on the primary states of the orbifold $\operatorname{SU}(2)_{k} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. To this aim it is helpful to recall the level 1 case which was elaborated in section 3.3. We have 8 primary states corresponding to irreducible characters

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi_{\mathbb{I}}(\tau) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\chi_{0}^{(1)}(\tau)+\chi_{0,[0,1]}^{(1)}(\tau)\right) \\
\chi_{j}(\tau) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\chi_{0}^{(1)}(\tau)-\chi_{0,[0,1]}^{(1)}(\tau)\right) \\
\chi_{1}^{i}(\tau) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\chi_{1}^{(1)}(\tau) \pm \chi_{1,[0,1]}^{(1)}(\tau)\right)\left(\equiv \frac{1}{2} \chi_{1}^{(1)}(\tau)\right) \\
\chi_{\sigma}^{i}(\tau) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\chi_{\ell,[1,0]}^{(1)}(\tau)+\chi_{\ell,[1,1]}^{(1)}(\tau)\right) \\
\chi_{\tau}^{i}(\tau) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\chi_{\ell,[1,0]}^{(1)}(\tau)-\chi_{\ell,[1,1]}^{(1)}(\tau)\right) \tag{5.34}
\end{align*}
$$

| Sector | Untwisted |  |  | Twisted |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conformal weight | 0 | 1 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{16}$ | $\frac{9}{16}$ |
| $\mathcal{A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ primary | $\mathbb{I}$ | $j$ | $\phi_{1}^{i}$ | $\sigma^{i}$ | $\tau^{i}$ |
| $\ell$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0,1 | 0,1 |
| $s$ | $[0],+$ | $[0],-$ | $[0], \pm$ | $[1],+$ | $[1],-$ |

Table 2: Primary fields in $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.
where $i=1,2, \ell=0,1$ and the notations are as in section 3.3 (see also appendix B and C]. These characters are organized into a collective form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\ell}^{[\alpha], \pm}(\tau)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\chi_{\ell,[\alpha, 0]}^{(1)}(\tau) \pm \chi_{\ell,[\alpha, 1]}^{(1)}(\tau)\right) . \tag{5.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\alpha=0,1$ and $\chi_{\ell,[0,0]}^{(1)}(\tau) \equiv \chi_{\ell}^{(1)}(\tau)$. We write them as $\chi_{\ell}^{s}(\tau)$, with $s=([0],+)$, $([0],-),([1],+),([1],-)$ in this order. The correspondence to the $\mathcal{A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ labels is as shown in table 2 . This rational CFT is generalised to $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ with arbitrary $k$ [45, 35]. A natural generalisation of the character formulas is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\ell}^{[\alpha], \pm}(\tau)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\chi_{\ell,[\alpha, 0]}^{(k)}(\tau) \pm \chi_{\ell,[\alpha, 1]}^{(k)}(\tau)\right) \tag{5.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

with now $\ell=0,1, \ldots, k$. Note that the diagonal sum $\sum_{\ell, s}\left|\chi_{\ell}^{s}(\tau)\right|^{2}$ of the $4(k+1)$ characters (5.36) gives the fibre part of the partition function (5.2). Modular inversion of these characters are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\ell}^{s}(-1 / \tau)=\sum_{\ell^{\prime}, s^{\prime}} S_{\ell, \ell^{\prime}}^{(k)} M_{s, s^{\prime}}^{\left(\ell, \ell^{\prime}\right)} \chi_{\ell^{\prime}}^{s^{\prime}}(\tau), \tag{5.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
M_{s, s^{\prime}}^{\left(\ell, \ell^{\prime}\right)}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & e^{i \frac{\pi}{2} \ell} & e^{i \frac{\pi}{2} \ell}  \tag{5.38}\\
1 & 1 & -e^{i \frac{\pi}{2} \ell} & -e^{i \frac{\pi}{2} \ell} \\
e^{i \frac{\pi}{2} \ell^{\prime}} & -e^{i \frac{\pi}{2} \ell^{\prime}} & e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}\left(\ell+\ell^{\prime}-\frac{k}{2}\right)} & -e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}\left(\ell+\ell^{\prime}-\frac{k}{2}\right)} \\
e^{\frac{\pi}{2} \ell^{\prime}} & -e^{i \frac{\pi^{\prime}}{2} \ell^{\prime}} & -e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}\left(\ell+\ell^{\prime}-\frac{k}{2}\right)} & e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}\left(\ell+\ell^{\prime}-\frac{k}{2}\right)}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

It is easy to check the unitarity of the modular matrix. We can now construct the $4(k+1)$ Cardy states based on the modular data (5.38) following the standard procedure of boundary RCFT, yielding the fractional boundary states as in section 3.3 (with the help of the automorphism $\kappa$ ). It is not difficult to see the $4(k+1)$ Cardy states found this way coincide (up to phase factors) with $\left|F ;(L, \theta), \tilde{y}_{0}, \pm\right\rangle$ with values of the parameter $\theta$ suitably chosen; we find correspondence

$$
\begin{align*}
& L=0,1, \ldots,\left[\frac{k}{2}\right], \quad \theta=\frac{n \pi}{2}, \quad(n=0,1, \ldots, 3), \quad \eta= \pm 1, \quad(\text { for even } k), \\
& L=0,1, \ldots,\left[\frac{k}{2}\right], \quad \theta=\frac{n \pi}{2}, \quad(n=0,1, \ldots, 7), \quad \eta=+1, \quad(\text { for odd } k) .(5 \tag{5.39}
\end{align*}
$$

(Only half of the $L$-values are independent. Recall (5.28).) The factor $e^{i \frac{\pi}{2} L}$ in (5.25) is again essential in this correspondence. One can also easily check that the results in section 3.3 are reproduced in the case of $k=1$.
3. In the $\mathrm{SU}(2) \mathrm{WZW}$ there are also B-branes 46 that preserve only a part of the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ symmetry on the boundary and are interpreted geometrically as D3-branes or (blown-up) D1-branes, not corresponding to any conjugacy classes. In our $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ T-fold model it seems possible to construct bulk boundary states out of such Btype $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ boundary states, although we have not developed them in full detail. Exploration of such branes and investigation of completeness of D-branes (in the sense of 47]) are certainly intriguing problems and we hope to come back in our future work.
4. Finally, we would like to mention the model described by the asymmetric modular invariant (5.4). As already pointed out this orbifold is somewhat pathological and it may not serve as a sensible model of string background. Nevertheless the model is legitimate as a field theory and it is an interesting problem to look into the spectrum of D-branes. The construction of bulk branes is essentially same as those discussed above; the corresponding boundary states are obtained by adding images of the orbifold action (which is not involutive in this case). In contrast, fractional branes are absent in this orbifold since the conformal invariance on the boundary is broken in the twisted sectors (due to the level mismatch). In similar but less simple examples of asymmetric orbifolds (associated with tori of higher dimensions), fractional-type branes are often possible due to cancellation of the level-mismatch, as observed in 18, 19].
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## A. Notations and conventions

We first summarize our convention of theta functions. We let $q \equiv e^{2 \pi i \tau}, y \equiv e^{2 \pi i z}$ and define

$$
\begin{align*}
\Theta_{m, n}(z \mid \tau) & =\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n\left(k+\frac{m}{2 n}\right)^{2}} y^{n\left(k+\frac{m}{2 n}\right)}, \quad \tilde{\Theta}_{m, n}(z \mid \tau)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{k} q^{n\left(k+\frac{m}{2 n}\right)^{2}} y^{n\left(k+\frac{m}{2 n}\right)},  \tag{A.1}\\
\eta(\tau) & =q^{1 / 24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{n}\right)  \tag{A.2}\\
\theta_{1}(z \mid \tau) & =-i \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{n} y^{n+\frac{1}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{2}\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}} \equiv 2 \sin (\pi z) q^{\frac{1}{8}} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{m}\right)\left(1-y q^{m}\right)\left(1-y^{-1} q^{m}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta_{2}(z \mid \tau)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} y^{n+\frac{1}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{2}\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}} \equiv 2 \cos (\pi z) q^{\frac{1}{8}} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{m}\right)\left(1+y q^{m}\right)\left(1+y^{-1} q^{m}\right) \\
& \theta_{3}(z \mid \tau)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} y^{n} q^{\frac{1}{2} n^{2}} \equiv \prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{m}\right)\left(1+y q^{m-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(1+y^{-1} q^{m-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
& \theta_{4}(z \mid \tau)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{n} y^{n} q^{\frac{1}{2} n^{2}} \equiv \prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{m}\right)\left(1-y q^{m-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\left(1-y^{-1} q^{m-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \tag{A.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and we abbreviate as $\Theta_{m, n}(\tau) \equiv \Theta_{m, n}(0 \mid \tau), \widetilde{\Theta}_{m, n}(\tau) \equiv \widetilde{\Theta}_{m, n}(0 \mid \tau), \quad \theta_{i}(\tau)=\theta_{i}(0 \mid \tau)$, $i=2,3,4$. The second equality in the third line of (A.3) is known as the 'Jacobi's triple product identity'.

The following identities are useful and repeatedly used in this paper:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sqrt{\frac{2 \eta(\tau)}{\theta_{2}(\tau)}}=\frac{\widetilde{\Theta}_{0,1}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)} \equiv \frac{1}{\eta(\tau)}\left(\Theta_{0,4}(\tau)-\Theta_{4,4}(\tau)\right) \\
& \sqrt{\frac{\eta(\tau)}{\theta_{4}(\tau)}}=\frac{\Theta_{1 / 2,1}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)} \equiv \frac{1}{\eta(\tau)}\left(\Theta_{1,4}(\tau)+\Theta_{-3,4}(\tau)\right) \\
& \sqrt{\frac{\eta(\tau)}{\theta_{3}(\tau)}}=\frac{\widetilde{\Theta}_{1 / 2,1}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)} \equiv \frac{1}{\eta(\tau)}\left(\Theta_{1,4}(\tau)-\Theta_{-3,4}(\tau)\right) \tag{A.4}
\end{align*}
$$

These are easily proved by using the Jacobi's triple product identity as well as the Euler identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \eta(\tau)^{3}=\theta_{2}(\tau) \theta_{3}(\tau) \theta_{4}(\tau) \quad\left(\Longleftrightarrow \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1+q^{n}\right)\left(1-q^{2 n-1}\right)=1\right) \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## B. Rational conformal models at $c=1$

Below we collect known facts about $c=1$ bosonic CFT which are instrumental in our T-fold analysis. When the compactification radius is $R=\sqrt{p / p^{\prime}}$ ( $p, p^{\prime}$ are coprime positive integers) the bosonic system on $S^{1}$ or $S^{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ exhibits an extended symmetry with respect to which the theory becomes rational. These symmetries are denoted $\mathcal{A}_{N}$ (circle) or $\mathcal{A}_{N} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ ( $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-orbifold) in 29. When $p=1$ or $p^{\prime}=1$ the boundary states may be found by applying the Cardy's method 43] as the rational CFT becomes diagonal.

## B. 1 Rational Gaussian models

The torus partition function of a boson $\varphi(z, \bar{z})$ compactified on an $S^{1}$ at radius $R$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{R}^{\mathrm{circ}}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) & =\frac{R}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Im} \tau}} \frac{1}{|\eta(\tau)|^{2}} \sum_{m, w \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp \left\{-\frac{\pi R^{2}|w \tau+m|^{2}}{\operatorname{Im} \tau}\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{|\eta(\tau)|^{2}} \sum_{k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{k}{R}+R \ell\right)^{2}} \bar{q}^{\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{k}{R}-R \ell\right)^{2}} \tag{B.1}
\end{align*}
$$

When the radius takes specific discrete values

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\sqrt{\frac{p}{p^{\prime}}} \tag{B.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

there appears an extended algebra $\mathcal{A}_{N}$ generated by operators of anomalous dimensions $h=1, N, N$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
j=i \partial \varphi, \quad V^{ \pm}=e^{ \pm 2 i \sqrt{N} \varphi}, \tag{B.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=p p^{\prime} \tag{B.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(so $p \leftrightarrow p^{\prime}$ gives the same chiral algebra, as it should). At $N=1$ the $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ is simply $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ at level 1 . There are $2 N$ primary operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{k}=e^{i k \varphi / \sqrt{N}}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, 2 N-1, \tag{B.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose conformal dimensions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{k}=\min \left(\frac{k^{2}}{4 N}, \frac{(2 N-k)^{2}}{4 N}\right) . \tag{B.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corresponding character functions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{k}(\tau)=\frac{\Theta_{k, N}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)} \equiv \frac{1}{\eta(\tau)} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{(k+2 m N)^{2} / 4 N} . \tag{B.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The partition function is written using the character functions as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{R}^{\operatorname{circ}}(\tau, \bar{\tau})=\sum_{k=0}^{2 N-1} \chi_{k}(\tau) \bar{\chi}_{\omega_{0} k}(\bar{\tau}) . \tag{B.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\omega_{0}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{0}=p r_{0}+p^{\prime} s_{0}(\bmod 2 N), \tag{B.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

using two integers $r_{0}, s_{0}$ satisfying $p r_{0}-p^{\prime} s_{0}=1(\bmod 2 N)$. Such a pair (Bezout pair) $\left(r_{0}, s_{0}\right)$ is shown to be unique if restricted to region $1 \leq r_{0} \leq p^{\prime}-1,1 \leq s_{0} \leq p-1$ and $p^{\prime} s_{0}<p r_{0}$. The theory is diagonal when $p=1$ or $p^{\prime}=1$.

The modular inversion of the $\mathcal{A}_{N}$ characters is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{k}(-1 / \tau)=\sum_{\ell=0}^{2 N-1} S_{k \ell} \chi_{\ell}(\tau)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 N}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{2 N-1} e^{-i \pi k \ell / N} \chi_{\ell}(\tau), \tag{B.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the fusion rules are found by the Verlinde formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i} \times \phi_{j}=\sum_{k} N_{i j}^{k} \phi_{k}, \quad N_{i j}^{k}=\delta_{i+j, k} . \tag{B.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This simply reflects the conservation of the $\mathrm{U}(1)$ charge. The $\mathcal{A}_{N}$ Ishibashi states $\left.\left|\phi_{\ell}\right\rangle\right\rangle$ are characterised by orthonormal overlaps

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left\langle\left.\left\langle\phi_{k}\right| q^{\frac{1}{2}\left(L_{0}+\bar{L}_{0}-\frac{1}{12}\right)} \right\rvert\, \phi_{\ell}\right\rangle\right\rangle=\delta_{k \ell \chi_{k}}(\tau) . \tag{B.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $p=1$ or $p^{\prime}=1$ there are $2 N$ Cardy states that preserve the $\mathcal{A}_{N}$ chiral symmetry,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left|\phi_{k}\right\rangle_{C}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{2 N-1} \frac{S_{k \ell}}{\sqrt{S_{0 \ell}}}\left|\phi_{\ell}\right\rangle\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{2 N}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{2 N-1} e^{-i \pi k \ell / N}\left|\phi_{\ell}\right\rangle\right\rangle, \tag{B.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{k \ell}$ is the modular inversion matrix. In these cases the Cardy states are the Fourier transform of the Ishibashi states. The inverse Fourier transformation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|\phi_{\ell}\right\rangle\right\rangle=(2 N)^{-3 / 4} \sum_{k=0}^{2 N-1} e^{i \pi k \ell / N}\left|\phi_{k}\right\rangle_{C} \tag{B.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where an obvious formula $\frac{1}{2 N} \sum_{j=0}^{2 N-1} e^{i \pi j k / N}=\delta_{k, 0}^{(2 N)}$ has been used. When $p^{\prime}=1$ the $2 N$ Cardy states may be identified with D-branes $\left|D\left(x_{0}\right)\right\rangle$ at $2 N$ points on the circle, $x_{0}=0$, $\frac{\pi R}{N}, \frac{2 \pi R}{N}, \cdots, \frac{(2 N-1) \pi R}{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\phi_{k}\right\rangle_{C}=\left|D\left(\frac{k \pi R}{N}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{2 N^{2}}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-i \pi m k / N} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{\frac{a_{-n}-\bar{a}^{-n}}{n}}|(m, 0)\rangle \tag{B.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

or Neumann states $\left|N\left(\tilde{x}_{0}\right)\right\rangle$ with $2 N$ special values of the Wilson line, $\tilde{x}_{0}=0, \frac{\pi \alpha^{\prime}}{R N}, \frac{2 \pi \alpha^{\prime}}{R N}$, $\cdots, \frac{(2 N-1) \pi \alpha^{\prime}}{R N}$ on the dual circle,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\phi_{k}\right\rangle_{C}=\left|N\left(\frac{k \pi \alpha^{\prime}}{R N}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{2 N^{2}}} \sum_{w \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-i \pi w k / N} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{a_{-n} \bar{a}_{-n}}{n}}|(0, w)\rangle . \tag{B.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

See [48, 49] for boundary deformation of these boundaries.

## B. 2 Rational Gaussian orbifold models

The torus partition function of a boson compactified on an orbifold $S^{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ at radius $R$ (i.e. on a line element of length $\pi R$ ) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{R}^{\text {orb }}(\tau, \bar{\tau})=\frac{1}{2} Z_{R}^{\text {circ }}(\tau, \bar{\tau})+\left|\frac{\eta(\tau)}{\theta_{2}(\tau)}\right|+\left|\frac{\eta(\tau)}{\theta_{3}(\tau)}\right|+\left|\frac{\eta(\tau)}{\theta_{4}(\tau)}\right| . \tag{B.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The twisted part does not depend on the radius. When $R^{2}=p / p^{\prime}$ the CFT has an extended chiral symmetry $\mathcal{A}_{N} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, generated by ( $N=p p^{\prime}$ as before)

$$
\begin{equation*}
T, \quad j_{4}=j^{4}-2 j \partial^{2} j+\frac{3}{2}(\partial j)^{2}, \quad \cos (2 \sqrt{N} \varphi) \tag{B.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Their conformal dimensions are $h=2,4, N$. There are $N+7$ primary operators whose conformal dimensions are

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\mathbb{I} & j & \phi_{N}^{1} & \phi_{N}^{2} & \phi_{k} & \sigma^{1} & \sigma^{2} & \tau^{1} & \tau^{2} \\
h=0 & 1 & N / 4 & N / 4 & k^{2} / 4 N & 1 / 16 & 1 / 16 & 9 / 16 & 9 / 16 \tag{B.19}
\end{array}
$$

where $k=1, \ldots, N-1$. Their character functions are

II:

$$
\chi_{\mathbb{I}}(\tau)=\frac{1}{2} \chi_{0}(\tau)+\frac{1}{2 \eta(\tau)} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{m} q^{n^{2}},
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
j: & \chi_{j}(\tau) & =\frac{1}{2} \chi_{0}(\tau)-\frac{1}{2 \eta(\tau)} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{m} q^{n^{2}}, \\
\phi_{N}^{i}: & \chi_{N}^{i}(\tau) & =\frac{1}{2} \chi_{N}(\tau), \\
\phi_{k}: & \chi_{k}(\tau) & , \\
\sigma^{i}: & \chi_{\sigma}^{i} & =\frac{1}{\eta(\tau)} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\left(2 m+\frac{1}{4}\right)^{2}}, \\
\tau^{i}: & \chi_{\tau}^{i} & =\frac{1}{\eta(\tau)} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\left(2 m+\frac{5}{4}\right)^{2}}, \tag{B.20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $i=1,2$ and $\chi_{\ell}(\tau)$ are the characters of the $S^{1}$ theory (B.7). The orbifold partition function at radius $R=\sqrt{p / p^{\prime}}$ splits into the $\mathcal{A}_{N} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ characters,

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{R}^{\mathrm{orb}}(\tau, \bar{\tau})= & \left|\chi_{\mathbb{I}}(\tau)\right|^{2}+\left|\chi_{j}(\tau)\right|^{2}+\left|\chi_{N}^{1}(\tau)\right|^{2}+\left|\chi_{N}^{2}(\tau)\right|^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \chi_{k}(\tau) \bar{\chi}_{\omega_{0} k}(\bar{\tau}) \\
& +\left|\chi_{\sigma}^{1}(\tau)\right|^{2}+\left|\chi_{\sigma}^{2}(\tau)\right|^{2}+\left|\chi_{\tau}^{1}(\tau)\right|^{2}+\left|\chi_{\tau}^{2}(\tau)\right|^{2} . \tag{B.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Again the theory is not diagonal unless $p=1$ or $p^{\prime}=1$. The Cardy construction of boundary states in these diagonal cases is discussed for example in 33, 50. When $p=p^{\prime}=$ 1 it turns out that the eight Dirichlet and Neumann states at the orbifold fixed points

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(0, \pm), \quad D(\pi R, \pm), \quad N(0, \pm), \quad N(\pi / R, \pm) \tag{B.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

may be identified with the $\mathcal{A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ Cardy states

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathbb{I}\rangle_{C}, \quad|j\rangle_{C}, \quad\left|\phi_{N}^{i}\right\rangle_{C}, \quad\left|\sigma^{i}\right\rangle_{C}, \quad\left|\tau^{i}\right\rangle_{C} \tag{B.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Boundary deformation of the orbifold models is discussed e.g. in 48, 51.

## C. Twisted $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}$ characters

In this appendix we summarize formulae on the twisted characters of $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}$. We start by recalling the $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}$ character

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\ell}^{(k)}(z \mid \tau) \equiv \frac{\Theta_{\ell+1, k+2}(z \mid \tau)-\Theta_{-(\ell+1), k+2}(z \mid \tau)}{i \theta_{1}(z \mid \tau)} \tag{C.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a trace over the space of the spin $\ell / 2$ module $(0 \leq \ell \leq k)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\ell}^{(k)}}\left[q^{L_{0}-\frac{k}{8(k+2)}} e^{2 \pi i z J_{0}^{3}}\right] \tag{C.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Explicit forms of the $k=1$ and $k=2$ characters are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\ell}^{(1)}(z \mid \tau)=\frac{\Theta_{\ell, 1}(z \mid \tau)}{\eta(\tau)}, \quad(\ell=0,1) \tag{C.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \chi_{0}^{(2)}(z \mid \tau)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\sqrt{\frac{\theta_{3}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}} \frac{\theta_{3}(z \mid \tau)}{\eta(\tau)}+\sqrt{\frac{\theta_{4}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}} \frac{\theta_{4}(z \mid \tau)}{\eta(\tau)}\right], \\
& \chi_{1}^{(2)}(z \mid \tau)=\sqrt{\frac{\theta_{2}(\tau)}{2 \eta(\tau)}} \frac{\theta_{2}(z \mid \tau)}{\eta(\tau)}, \\
& \chi_{2}^{(2)}(z \mid \tau)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\sqrt{\frac{\theta_{3}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}} \frac{\theta_{3}(z \mid \tau)}{\eta(\tau)}-\sqrt{\frac{\theta_{4}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}} \frac{\theta_{4}(z \mid \tau)}{\eta(\tau)}\right] . \tag{C.4}
\end{align*}
$$

We introduce the twisted characters by inserting operator $e^{2 \pi i a J_{0}^{3}}$ along the spatial cycle and $e^{2 \pi i b J_{0}^{3}}$ along the temporal cycle of the world-sheet torus $(a, b \in \mathbb{R})$. Clearly the twist by the temporal insertion shifts the parameter $z$ by $b$. The twist in the spatial cycle may be taken into account by modular transformations. With an appropriate choice of the phase normalisation the twisted characters are ${ }^{13}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\chi}_{\ell,(a, b)}^{(k)}(z \mid \tau) \equiv q^{\frac{k}{4} a^{2}} y^{\frac{k}{2} a} e^{2 \pi i \frac{k}{4} a b} \chi_{\ell}^{(k)}(z+a \tau+b \mid \tau) . \tag{C.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Their modular transformations are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widehat{\chi}_{\ell,(a, b)}^{(k)}(z \mid \tau+1)=e^{2 \pi i\left(h_{\ell}-\frac{k}{8(k+2)}\right)} \widehat{\chi}_{\ell,(a, b+a)}^{(k)}(z \mid \tau),  \tag{C.6}\\
& \widehat{\chi}_{\ell,(a, b)}^{(k)}\left(\frac{z}{\tau} \left\lvert\, \frac{-1}{\tau}\right.\right)=e^{\frac{i \pi k z^{2}}{2 \tau}} \sum_{\ell^{\prime}=0}^{k} S_{\ell, \ell^{\prime}}^{(k)} \widehat{\chi}_{\ell^{\prime},(b,-a)}^{(k)}(z \mid \tau), \tag{C.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $h_{\ell}=\frac{\ell(\ell+2)}{4(k+2)}$ is the conformal weights of the ground states and $S_{\ell, \ell^{\prime}}^{(k)}$ the modular $S$-matrix of $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\ell, \ell^{\prime}}^{(k)} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2}{k+2}} \sin \left(\pi \frac{(\ell+1)\left(\ell^{\prime}+1\right)}{k+2}\right) . \tag{C.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is often convenient to introduce the ' $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-twisted characters' $\chi_{\ell,[\alpha, \beta]}^{(k)}(\tau)$ whose boundary conditions are parameterized by $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-valued indices $\alpha, \beta$. They are defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi_{\ell,[0,1]}^{(k)}(z \mid \tau) & \equiv e^{\frac{i \pi}{2} \ell} \widehat{\chi}_{\ell,\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)}^{(k)}(z \mid \tau), \\
\chi_{\ell,[1,0]}^{(k)}(\tau) & \equiv \widehat{\chi}_{\ell,\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)}^{(k)}(z \mid \tau), \\
\chi_{\ell,[1,1]}^{(k)}(z \mid \tau) & \equiv e^{-2 \pi i \frac{k}{16}} e^{\frac{i \pi}{2} \ell} \widehat{\chi}_{\ell,\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)}^{(k)}(z \mid \tau)\left(\equiv e^{2 \pi i \frac{k}{16}} e^{-\frac{i \pi}{2} \ell} \hat{\chi}_{\ell,\left(\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right)}^{(k)}(z \mid \tau)\right) . \tag{C.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Their explicit forms using the theta functions are written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{\ell,[0,1]}^{(k)}(z \mid \tau)= & \frac{1}{\theta_{2}(z \mid \tau)}\left(\Theta_{-2(\ell+1), 4(k+2)}(z / 2 \mid \tau)+(-1)^{\ell} \Theta_{2(\ell+1), 4(k+2)}(z / 2 \mid \tau)\right. \\
& \left.+(-1)^{k} \Theta_{-2(\ell+1)+4(k+2), 4(k+2)}(z / 2 \mid \tau)+(-1)^{k+\ell} \Theta_{2(\ell+1)+4(k+2), 4(k+2)}(z / 2 \mid \tau)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

[^10]\[

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi_{\ell,[1,0]}^{(k)}(z \mid \tau)= & \frac{1}{\theta_{4}(z \mid \tau)}\left(\Theta_{-(\ell+1)+\frac{k+2}{2}, k+2}(z \mid \tau)-\Theta_{(\ell+1)+\frac{k+2}{2}, k+2}(z \mid \tau)\right) \\
\equiv & \frac{1}{\theta_{4}(z \mid \tau)}\left(\Theta_{-2(\ell+1)+(k+2), 4(k+2)}(z / 2 \mid \tau)-\Theta_{2(\ell+1)+(k+2), 4(k+2)}(z / 2 \mid \tau)\right. \\
& \left.+\Theta_{-2(\ell+1)-3(k+2), 4(k+2)}(z / 2 \mid \tau)-\Theta_{2(\ell+1)-3(k+2), 4(k+2)}(z / 2 \mid \tau)\right) \\
\chi_{\ell,[1,1]}^{(k)}(z \mid \tau)= & \frac{1}{\theta_{3}(z \mid \tau)}\left(\Theta_{-2(\ell+1)+(k+2), 4(k+2)}(z / 2 \mid \tau)+(-1)^{\ell} \Theta_{2(\ell+1)+(k+2), 4(k+2)}(z / 2 \mid \tau)\right. \\
& \left.+(-1)^{k} \Theta_{-2(\ell+1)-3(k+2), 4(k+2)}(z / 2 \mid \tau)+(-1)^{k+\ell} \Theta_{2(\ell+1)-3(k+2), 4(k+2)}(z / 2 \mid \tau)\right) \tag{C.10}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

Note that, when setting $z=0$, we have $\chi_{k-\ell,[1,0]}^{(k)}(0 \mid \tau)=\chi_{\ell,[1,0]}^{(k)}(0 \mid \tau), \chi_{k-\ell,[1,1]}^{(k)}(0 \mid \tau)=$ $\chi_{\ell,[1,1]}^{(k)}(0 \mid \tau)$, and also $\chi_{\ell,[0,1]}^{(k)}(0 \mid \tau) \equiv 0$ for an arbitrary odd $\ell .{ }^{14}$

Taking level $k=1$ and setting $z=0$, these characters reduce to the familiar conformal blocks of the twisted boson:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \chi_{0,[0,1]}^{(1)}(0 \mid \tau)=\frac{\widetilde{\Theta}_{0,1}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}=\sqrt{\frac{2 \eta(\tau)}{\theta_{2}(\tau)}}, \quad \chi_{1,[0,1]}^{(1)}(0 \mid \tau)=0, \\
& \chi_{0,[1,0]}^{(1)}(0 \mid \tau)=\chi_{1,[1,0]}^{(1)}(0 \mid \tau)=\frac{\Theta_{1 / 2,1}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}=\sqrt{\frac{\eta(\tau)}{\theta_{4}(\tau)}}, \\
& \chi_{0,[1,1]}^{(1)}(0 \mid \tau)=\chi_{1,[1,1]}^{(1)}(0 \mid \tau)=\frac{\widetilde{\Theta}_{1 / 2,1}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}=\sqrt{\frac{\eta(\tau)}{\theta_{3}(\tau)}} . \tag{C.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, for $k=2$ we find the system of one twisted boson and one twisted fermion $\left(\theta_{i} \equiv \theta_{i}(0 \mid \tau)\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \chi_{0,[0,1]}^{(2)}(0 \mid \tau)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\theta_{4}}{\eta}} \frac{\theta_{3}}{\eta}+\sqrt{\frac{\theta_{3}}{\eta}} \frac{\theta_{4}}{\eta}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{2 \eta}{\theta_{2}}} \frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\theta_{3}}{\eta}}+\sqrt{\frac{\theta_{4}}{\eta}}\right), \quad \chi_{1,[0,1]}^{(2)}(0 \mid \tau)=0 \\
& \chi_{2,[0,1]}^{(2)}(0 \mid \tau)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\theta_{4}}{\eta}} \frac{\theta_{3}}{\eta}-\sqrt{\frac{\theta_{3}}{\eta}} \frac{\theta_{4}}{\eta}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{2 \eta}{\theta_{2}}} \frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\theta_{3}}{\eta}}-\sqrt{\frac{\theta_{4}}{\eta}}\right), \tag{C.12}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\chi_{0,[1,0]}^{(2)}(0 \mid \tau)=\chi_{2,[1,0]}^{(2)}(0 \mid \tau)=\sqrt{\frac{\theta_{3}}{\eta}} \frac{\theta_{2}}{2 \eta}=\sqrt{\frac{\eta}{\theta_{4}}} \sqrt{\frac{\theta_{2}}{2 \eta}}, \quad \chi_{1,[1,0]}^{(2)}(0 \mid \tau)=\sqrt{\frac{\theta_{2}}{2 \eta}} \frac{\theta_{3}}{\eta}=\sqrt{\frac{\eta}{\theta_{4}}} \sqrt{\frac{\theta_{3}}{\eta}}
$$

$$
\chi_{0,[1,1]}^{(2)}(0 \mid \tau)=\chi_{2,[1,1]}^{(2)}(0 \mid \tau)=\sqrt{\frac{\theta_{4}}{\eta}} \frac{\theta_{2}}{2 \eta}=\sqrt{\frac{\eta}{\theta_{3}}} \sqrt{\frac{\theta_{2}}{2 \eta}}, \quad \chi_{1,[1,1]}^{(2)}(0 \mid \tau)=\sqrt{\frac{\theta_{2}}{2 \eta}} \frac{\theta_{4}}{\eta}=\sqrt{\frac{\eta}{\theta_{3}}} \sqrt{\frac{\theta_{4}}{\eta}}
$$

One can immediately see that the ground states of $\chi_{\ell,[0,1]}^{(k)}(z \mid \tau)$ are the usual spin $\ell / 2$ integrable representation with conformal weights $h_{\ell}=\frac{\ell(\ell+2)}{4(k+2)}$. On the other hand the ground states of $\chi_{\ell,[1,0]}^{(k)}(z \mid \tau)$ and $\chi_{\ell,[1,1]}^{(k)}(z \mid \tau)$ are the twisted sector vacuum whose conformal weight is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\ell}^{t} \equiv \frac{k-2+(k-2 \ell)^{2}}{16(k+2)}+\frac{1}{16} \equiv \frac{\ell(\ell+2)}{4(k+2)}-\frac{\ell}{4}+\frac{k}{16} . \tag{C.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^11]An important difference of the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-twisted character $\chi_{\ell,[0,1]}^{(k)}$ from $\widehat{\chi}_{\ell,(0,1 / 2)}^{(k)}$ is that the insertion $e^{i \pi J_{0}^{3}}$ is now replaced with $\widehat{\sigma} \equiv e^{i \pi \frac{\ell}{2}} e^{i \pi J_{0}^{3}}$. We note that $\widehat{\sigma}$ is involutive: $\widehat{\sigma}^{2}=$ 1, whereas $e^{i \pi J_{0}^{3}}$ is not. The twisted characters of the other types $[\alpha, \beta]=[1,0],[1,1]$ are determined in a way consistent with the closedness of modular transformations. The modular transformations of $\chi_{\ell,[\alpha, \beta]}^{(k)}$ are summarised as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi_{\ell,[0,1]}^{(k)}(z \mid \tau+1) & =e^{2 \pi i\left(h_{\ell}-\frac{k}{8(k+2)}\right)} \chi_{\ell,[0,1]}^{(k)}(z \mid \tau) \\
\chi_{\ell,[0,1]}^{(k)}\left(\frac{z}{\tau} \left\lvert\,-\frac{1}{\tau}\right.\right) & =e^{i \pi \frac{k}{2} \frac{z^{2}}{\tau}} \sum_{\ell^{\prime}=0}^{k} e^{\frac{i \pi}{2} \ell} S_{\ell, \ell^{\prime}} \chi_{\ell^{\prime},[1,0]}^{(k)}(z \mid \tau) \\
\chi_{\ell,[1,0]}^{(k)}(z \mid \tau+1) & =e^{2 \pi i\left(h_{\ell}^{t}-\frac{k}{8(k+2)}\right)} \chi_{\ell,[1,1]}^{(k)}(z \mid \tau) \\
\chi_{\ell,[1,0]}^{(k)}\left(\frac{z}{\tau} \left\lvert\,-\frac{1}{\tau}\right.\right) & =e^{i \pi \frac{k}{2} \frac{z^{2}}{\tau}} \sum_{\ell^{\prime}=0}^{k} S_{\ell, \ell^{\prime}} e^{\frac{i \pi}{2} \ell^{\prime}} \chi_{\ell^{\prime}[0,1]}^{(k)}(z \mid \tau) \\
\chi_{\ell,[1,1]}^{(k)}(z \mid \tau+1) & =e^{2 \pi i\left(h_{\ell}^{t}-\frac{k}{8(k+2)}\right)} \chi_{\ell,[1,0]}^{(k)}(z \mid \tau) \\
\chi_{\ell,[1,1]}^{(k)}\left(\frac{z}{\tau} \left\lvert\,-\frac{1}{\tau}\right.\right) & =e^{i \pi \frac{k}{2} \frac{z^{2}}{\tau}} \sum_{\ell^{\prime}=0}^{k} S_{\ell, \ell^{\prime}} e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}\left(\ell+\ell^{\prime}-\frac{k}{2}\right)} \chi_{\ell^{\prime},[1,1]}^{(k)}(z \mid \tau) \tag{C.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\widehat{\sigma}$ operates on the twisted Hilbert space $(\alpha=1)$ as $\widehat{\sigma} \equiv e^{-i \frac{\pi}{4} k} e^{i \frac{\pi}{2} \ell} e^{i \pi J_{0}^{3}}$ that is again involutive, ${ }^{15} \widehat{\sigma}^{2}=\mathbf{1}$. We may thus use the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-twisted characters $\chi_{\ell,[\alpha, \beta]}^{(k)}$ as building blocks of the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-orbifold of $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}$.

Due to obvious global symmetry one may use $e^{i \pi J_{0}^{1}}$ or $e^{i \pi J_{0}^{2}}$ instead of $e^{i \pi J_{0}^{3}}$ above to define the same twisted characters $\chi_{\ell,[\alpha, \beta]}^{(k)}(0 \mid \tau)$. One can also use a more general rotated current zero mode $\rho e^{i \pi J_{0}^{3}} \rho^{-1}$, where $\rho$ is any automorphism of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$. This is a consequence of the rotational invariance of the Hamiltonian and the property of trace. When the $U(1)$ dependence (the angle variable $z$ ) is turned on its zero-mode insertion must be rotated simultaneously, as $\rho e^{2 \pi i z J_{0}^{3}} \rho^{-1}$. We use these symmetries to compute various overlaps (see appendix (D).

## D. Formula for the mixed amplitudes

We derive in this appendix the formula (D.4) that was used in computing cylinder amplitudes of the fibre part. Similar techniques were also utilized e.g. in 42.

We consider a boson compactified on a self-dual $S^{1}$ and let $|N\rangle$ be the Neumann boundary state,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.|N\rangle=\frac{1}{2^{1 / 4}}(|0\rangle\rangle+|1\rangle\right\rangle\right) \tag{D.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^12]Here $|\ell\rangle\rangle$ are the $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{1}$ Ishibashi states for the spin $\ell / 2(\ell=0,1)$ representations. These Ishibashi states are characterized by gluing conditions and overlaps,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left(J_{n}^{a}+\bar{J}_{-n}^{a}\right)|\ell\rangle\right\rangle=0, \quad\left\langle\langle\ell| e^{-\pi s H^{c}} e^{2 \pi i z J_{0}^{3}} \mid \ell^{\prime}\right\rangle\right\rangle=\delta_{\ell, \ell^{\prime}} \frac{\Theta_{\ell, 1}(z \mid i s)}{\eta(i s)} \tag{D.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is then easy to find that

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle N| e^{-\pi s H^{c}} e^{2 \pi i z J_{0}^{3}}|N\rangle & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{\Theta_{0,1}(z \mid i s)}{\eta(i s)}+\frac{\Theta_{1,1}(z \mid i s)}{\eta(i s)}\right) \\
& =\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{e^{-2 \pi t\left(n+\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2}}}{\eta(i t)}, \quad(t \equiv 1 / s) \tag{D.3}
\end{align*}
$$

We wish to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle N| e^{-\pi s H^{(c)}} e^{2 i \theta J_{0}^{3}} e^{2 i \phi J_{0}^{1}}|N\rangle=\frac{1}{\eta(i t)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-2 \pi t\left(n+\frac{\alpha(\theta, \phi)}{2 \pi}\right)^{2}} \tag{D.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(\theta, \phi) \equiv \cos ^{-1}(\cos \theta \cos \phi) \tag{D.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If this formula holds one may replace $J_{0}^{1}$ with $J_{0}^{2}$ because $e^{-\frac{i \pi}{2} J_{0}^{3}} J_{0}^{1} e^{\frac{i \pi}{2} J_{0}^{3}}=J_{0}^{2}$. One can show (D.4) by going to the spin $\frac{1}{2}$ basis of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ in which the current zero modes are represented by the Pauli matrices. Then one may write,

$$
e^{2 i \theta J_{0}^{3}} e^{2 i \phi J_{0}^{1}}=e^{i 2 \theta \frac{\sigma_{3}}{2}} e^{i 2 \phi \frac{\sigma_{1}}{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{i \theta} \cos \phi & i e^{i \theta} \sin \phi  \tag{D.6}\\
i e^{-i \theta} \sin \phi & e^{-i \theta} \cos \phi
\end{array}\right)
$$

This is diagonalised as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{i \alpha(\theta, \phi)} & 0  \tag{D.7}\\
0 & e^{-i \alpha(\theta, \phi)}
\end{array}\right) \equiv e^{2 i \alpha(\theta, \phi) \frac{\sigma_{3}}{2}}
$$

with $\alpha(\theta, \phi)$ given by (D.5). We can then use an unitary operator $U$ to write $e^{2 i \theta J_{0}^{3}} e^{2 i \phi J_{0}^{1}}=$ $U e^{2 i \alpha(\theta, \phi) J_{0}^{3}} U^{-1}$, where the explicit form of $U$ is $U=e^{i \theta_{1}\left(J_{0}^{a_{1}}+\bar{J}_{0}^{a_{1}}\right)} e^{i \theta_{2}\left(J_{0}^{a_{2}}+\bar{J}_{0}^{a_{2}}\right)} \ldots$. The Neumann state is invariant under the rotation by $U$ because of (D.2). Therefore, using (D.3), we obtain the desired formula (D.4). It is also easy to generalize the method described here to $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{k}$ at arbitrary $k$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is shown in [21] that the doubled formalism [1, 3] (see also 21, 23-25) may be used to obtain the same one-loop partition function of this T-fold model. In this paper, however, we shall not use the doubled torus formalism.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The failure of modular invariance originates from treating the naive $T$-operator (2.2) as an order 2 automorphism, which is not the case. Indeed, as we will see later, one can still construct a modular invariant partition function of the interpolating orbifold based on $T \otimes \mathcal{T}_{2 \pi R}$, which has an order 16 orbifold structure (the fact that we should have an order 16 orbifold originates from the level mismatch $1 / 16$ in the twisted sector). See also 26]. However, we shall concentrate on the 'improved' T-duality operator $T^{\prime}$ (or $T^{\prime \prime}$ ) defined later, since it is truly an order 2 automorphism and consistent with the locality of vertex operators.
    ${ }^{3}$ Our conventions follow 22. The authors of [16] use a different convention with an extra factor $\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \pi i n w\right)$ but the difference is not essential in subsequent discussions.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ In [23] relation between this factor and a topological term in the supergravity description is discussed.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ The vertex operators are transformed under $T^{\prime \prime}$ as $e^{i k_{L} X_{L}} \rightarrow e^{\frac{i \pi}{2}(n+w)} e^{i k_{L} X_{L}}, e^{i k_{R} X_{R}} \rightarrow e^{-i k_{R} X_{R}}$, so at the fixed points $n=w$ of the orbifold the shift in $X_{L}$ yields the same phase factor as $e^{i \pi n w}$ of (2.10), giving the same contribution to the partition trace as in (2.20). When $n \neq w, T^{\prime}$ and $T^{\prime \prime}$ generate different phases in vertex operators. It is argued in that the difference of the phase factor can be absorbed into the normalization of the ground states.

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ The symmetries $\mathcal{A}_{N}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{N} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ are cousins of the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ away from the self-dual point (in fact $\mathcal{A}_{1}=$ $\mathrm{SU}(2))$. Notations of these rational models are summarised in appendix $B, \mathcal{A}_{4} \simeq \mathcal{A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ concerns us in studying the T-fold.

[^5]:    ${ }^{7}$ The relation (3.6) is not possible with the naive $T$-operation $T$, since $T^{2} \neq \mathbf{1}$ and the extra phase cannot be absorbed into normalisation of the Fock vacua.

[^6]:    ${ }^{8}$ Recall the discussion based on the rational CFT primaries. One may identify $\kappa$ as

    $$
    \kappa=\left(\iota^{-1}, \mathbf{1}\right):\left(\mathcal{A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{L} \otimes\left(\mathcal{A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{R} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{A}_{4}^{L} \otimes\left(\mathcal{A}_{1} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{R} .
    $$

    ${ }^{9}$ These are periodic in $\theta$ with periodicity $4 \pi,|F ; \theta+4 \pi\rangle^{\mathcal{R}}=|F ; \theta\rangle^{\mathcal{R}}$. Note that $|F ; \theta+2 \pi\rangle^{\mathcal{R}}=$ $e^{2 i \theta J_{0}^{1}}\left(|N\rangle_{1}-|N\rangle_{1}^{\mathcal{R}}\right) / \sqrt{2}$. They represent Neumann condition when $\theta=n \pi$ and Dirichlet condition when $\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}+n \pi(n=0, \ldots, 3)$.

[^7]:    ${ }^{10}$ If one instead describes the $X$-sector by the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$-WZW model at level 1 , all the boundary conditions considered here are linearly realized in terms of the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$-current algebra. Note, however, the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$-WZW model is quite different from a non-linear $\sigma$-model which has the central charge equal to the dimensionality of the target space.

[^8]:    ${ }^{11}$ The orbifolding (4.5) is quite similar to the Scherk-Schwarz compactification 40 (or the thermal superstring theory 41]). In fact, the $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{2}$ theory is useful in working with the thermal circle with inverse temperature $\beta=2 \pi \sqrt{2} k\left(k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\right)$ in the RNS superstring, as discussed e.g. in 42.

[^9]:    ${ }^{12} \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{D} p$-brane in our context is a $p$-dimensional object spreading in $p$ spatial dimensions (not in $(p+1)$ spacetime dimensions).

[^10]:    ${ }^{13}$ There is phase ambiguity in defining the characters (see e.g. 31) and the formula (C.5) is normalised so that they transform with the standard $S U(2)$ modular transformation laws. We normalise the twisted characters so that they behave in a modular covariant manner. The choice is not unique; for instance the convention in slightly differs from ours.

[^11]:    ${ }^{14}$ These simple relations are broken when $z \neq 0$.

[^12]:    ${ }^{15}$ This is easily checked using

    $$
    \chi_{\ell,[\alpha, \beta]}(z+1 \mid \tau)=e^{i \frac{\pi}{2} k \alpha}(-1)^{\ell} \chi_{\ell,[\alpha, \beta]}(z \mid \tau)
    $$

